Sleep and pain interference in individuals with chronic pain in mid- to late-life: The influence of negative and positive affect
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Summary
Poor sleep and chronic pain are known to be interrelated, but the influence of negative and positive affect on this relationship is not fully understood. The present study sought to examine whether negative and positive affect mediate the relationship between sleep and pain interference. Secondary data analysis from Midlife in the United States (MIDUS-III) was used to examine 948 individuals with chronic pain (mean age = 64.73 years). Sleep disturbance was conceptualized as the sum of self-reported difficulty with sleep-onset latency, wake after sleep onset, early morning awakening and daytime sleepiness, and total sleep time was assessed via self-reported sleep duration. Pain interference was operationalized as the sum of pain-related interference with general activity, relationships and enjoyment of life. Finally, items from the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule were used to measure affect. Mediation analyses revealed that sleep disturbance indirectly predicted pain interference via both negative affect ($\beta = 0.15$, confidence interval: 0.10, 0.21) and positive affect ($\beta = 0.18$, confidence interval: 0.12, 0.25). Similarly, negative ($\beta = -0.003$, confidence interval: $-0.01, -0.001$) and positive affect ($\beta = -0.003$, confidence interval: $-0.01, -0.001$) also mediated the effect between total sleep time and pain interference. This study highlights the unique role of negative and positive affect on pain interference for individuals with chronic pain in mid- to late-life. Additionally, findings suggest that holistic treatment approaches, which assess both sleep and affect in the context of chronic pain, may be beneficial.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Sleep disturbance and chronic pain are among the most common health concerns faced in mid- to late-life (Artner et al., 2013). The high co-morbidity between sleep disturbance and chronic pain has long fostered research efforts to disentangle the temporal relationship between sleep and pain. Emerging research suggests that impaired sleep is a stronger and more reliable predictor of increased pain and functional disability than vice versa (Finan, Goodin, & Smith, 2013). This temporal relationship is supported by the presence of population-based (Boardman, Thomas, Millson, & Croft, 2006; Gupta et al., 2007; Mork & Nilsen, 2012), micro-longitudinal (Dzierzewski et al., 2010; Tang, Goodchild, Sanborn, Howard, & Salkovskis, 2012) and experimental studies (Haack & Mullington, 2005; Irvin et al., 2012). As a result of these recent findings, current research efforts have shifted their focus to identifying the mechanisms through which sleep impairments influence pain.

1.1 | Sleep, affect and pain

Although depression has been identified as one significant factor in the sleep–pain pathway (O’Brien et al., 2010), relatively little research has examined the role of negative affect (O’Brien et al.,...
Despite the importance of pain in the human experience, our understanding of the mechanisms linking sleep and pain has been limited. While many studies have focused on the relationship between sleep and chronic pain, the impact of acute pain on sleep has received less attention. This gap in the literature is significant given that pain affects a wide range of daily activities, from work to home life. Pain can also have a significant impact on sleep, with chronic pain patients reporting higher levels of pain interference than those with acute pain (Kothari, Davis, Yeung, & Daeschner, 2008). The present study aimed to examine the relationship between sleep and pain interference in adults and to investigate whether positive and negative affect each act as unique mechanisms through which sleep influences pain.

The purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship between sleep, affect, and pain interference among individuals with chronic pain. Specifically, the study sought to extend past research and examine whether positive and negative affect each act as unique mechanisms through which sleep influences pain interference. A better understanding of the unique roles of positive and negative affect on the sleep–pain relationship can inform future interventions on the utility of either increasing positive affect or decreasing negative affect while also treating impaired sleep. Based on the existing literature, we hypothesized that: (a) sleep will predict pain interference; and that (b) positive and negative affect will each partially mediate the relationship between sleep and pain interference, with poorer sleep contributing to increased negative affect, and greater pain interference and better sleep predicting more positive affect and lower levels of pain interference.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants

The present study included archival data analysis from Project 1 of the Midlife in the United States—III study (MIDUS–III). The primary goal of MIDUS–III was to examine the influence of behavioural, psychological, and social factors on health and well-being in a nationally representative sample of Americans in mid- to late-life (Radler, 2014). Individuals were randomly selected to participate in the larger MIDUS–III sample if they were non-institutionalized, English-speaking adults in mid- to late-life. Of the larger MIDUS–III sample, 948 adults who endorsed having chronic pain, that is, “pain that persists beyond the time of normal healing and has lasted anywhere from a few months to many years”, were included in the present study. No exclusion criteria were imposed for participation in the current analyses. After obtaining participants’ written informed consent, measures of sleep, affect and pain were completed cross-sectionally.

2.2 | Measures

2.2.1 | Sleep

Sleep disturbance was conceptualized as participants’ self-reported difficulty with sleep-onset latency, wake after sleep onset, early morning awakening and daytime sleepiness during the past 30 days. Each of these sleep parameters was rated on a five-point Likert scale from 1 (never) to 5 (almost always), with sleep disturbance operationalized as the sum of these four items. In addition to sleep disturbance, participants’ self-reported total sleep time (TST) for weekdays and weekends was also measured. Participants’ mean TST for the present study was calculated by averaging weekday and weekend TST.

2.2.2 | Affect

Positive and negative affect were each measured using items from the Positive and Negative Affective Schedule (PANAS; Watson et al.,...
Specifically, for positive affect, participants were asked to rate the extent to which they felt “enthusiastic”, “attentive”, “proud” and “active” during the past 30 days ($\alpha = 0.86$). Similarly, for negative affect, participants rated the degree to which they felt “afraid”, “jittery”, “irritable”, “ashamed” and “upset” during the past 30 days ($\alpha = 0.80$). Positive and negative items were each rated on a five-point Likert scale from 0 (not at all) to 10 (completely). Total pain interference was calculated by summing participants’ scores on the three aforementioned domains.

2.2.3 Pain interference

Pain interference was operationalized as the degree to which pain adversely influenced three different domains in the past week: general activity, relationships, and enjoyment in life. Pain interference in each of these domains was rated on an 11-point Likert scale from 0 (not at all) to 10 (completely). Total pain interference was calculated by summing participants’ scores on the three aforementioned domains.

2.3 Data analyses

In order to examine the pathways through which sleep predicts pain interference, mediation analyses were conducted using an asymptotic bootstrapping approach (Preacher & Hayes, 2008). This approach allows for an examination of the indirect effects without requiring a direct effect. Parallel multiple mediator models were conducted using PROCESS macro (Hayes, 2013). Specifically, two parallel mediation models were run using Model 4 in PROCESS with $N = 5,000$ resamples. Sleep disturbance and TST were included as the respective predictor variables for the two separate mediation models. In both models, negative and positive affect were entered as the mediator variables. Finally, both models included pain interference as the criterion variable, and controlled for age, sex and education level. Results of the regression/path coefficients are presented in an unstandardized form.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Sample characteristics

Of the 948 participants included in the study, 58.6% identified as female and 89.3% identified as White. Participants’ ages ranged from 39 to 93 years, with a mean age of 63.37 years (SD = 8.58). In terms of education, 25.7% of participants listed a high school degree as their highest level of education, while 19.4% reported having obtained a bachelor’s degree. Participants’ mean TST was 430.50 min (SD = 79.83), with 30.2% of the sample reporting fewer than 7 hr of sleep, which falls below the recommendations set by the National Sleep Foundation (Hirshkowitz et al., 2015). Participants’ average pain interference score was 9.69 (SD = 8.24). Consistent with past research among adults in mid- to late-life (Charles, Reynolds, & Gatz, 2001), participants reported greater levels of positive affect ($M = 3.40, SD = 0.83$) than negative affect ($M = 1.59, SD = 0.58$). Complete descriptive demographic and clinical information are presented in Table 1.

3.2 Sleep disturbance, affect and pain interference

The total effect of sleep disturbance on pain was significant (Path $c$, $\beta = 0.69$, $p < .001$). In addition, the direct effect of sleep disturbance on pain when controlling for both negative and positive affect was also significant (Path $c'$, $\beta = 0.36$, $p < .001$). However, findings suggest the presence of an indirect effect of sleep disturbance on pain via both positive affect (Path $a_1^1 \times b_1^1$, $\beta = 0.18$, confidence interval [CI]: 0.12, 0.25) and negative affect (Path $a_2^2 \times b_2^2$, $\beta = 0.15$, CI: 0.10, 0.21). Specifically, results revealed a negative association between sleep disturbance and positive affect (Path $a_1^2$, $\beta = -0.073$, $p < .001$), as well as a negative association between positive affect and pain interference (Path $b_1^2$, $\beta = -2.43$, $p < .001$). In contrast, results revealed a positive association between sleep disturbance and negative affect (Path $a_2^2$, $\beta = 0.053$, $p < .001$), and a positive association between negative affect and pain (Path $b_2^2$, $\beta = 2.87$, $p < .001$). Calculations of effect size ($ab/c$) indicate that 22% of the variance in the relationship between sleep disturbance and pain interference is explained by negative affect, while 26% is explained by positive affect. The mediational model for sleep disturbance, affect and pain is represented in Figure 1.

3.3 Total sleep time, affect and pain interference

The total effect of TST on pain was significant (Path $c$, $\beta = -0.018$, $p < .001$). In addition, the direct effect of TST on pain, when controlling for positive and negative affect, was also significant (Path $c'$, $\beta =$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Participant descriptive statistics ($N = 948$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64.73 (11.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbance</td>
<td>11.16 (3.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TST (min)</td>
<td>430.38 (80.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain interference</td>
<td>9.46 (7.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative affect</td>
<td>1.59 (0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive affect</td>
<td>3.41 (0.83)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TST, total sleep time.

Sleep disturbance was measured on a scale from 4 to 20, with higher scores indicating greater levels of sleep disturbance.

Pain interference measured on a scale from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating greater levels of pain interference.

Affect measured on a scale from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating greater levels of affect.
However, results revealed that the relationship between TST and pain were mediated by both positive (Path $a_1 \times b_1$, $\beta = -0.003$, CI: $-0.01$, $-0.001$) and negative affect (Path $a_2 \times b_2$, $\beta = -0.003$, CI: $-0.01$, $-0.001$). Specifically, greater TST was associated with higher levels of positive affect (Path $a_1$, $\beta = 0.0010$, $p = .004$) and lower levels of negative affect (Path $a_2$, $\beta = -0.0010$, $p < .001$). Finally, positive affect (Path $b_1$, $\beta = -2.70$, $p < .001$) and negative affect (Path $b_2$, $\beta = 3.23$, $p < .001$) each predicted pain interference. Calculations of effect size ($ab/c$) revealed that 20% of the total effect of TST on pain can be explained by negative affect, while 17% is explained by positive affect. The meditational model for TST, affect and pain is represented in Figure 2.

4 | DISCUSSION

Overall, the purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship between sleep, affect and pain interference. Our first hypothesis, that sleep would predict pain interference, was supported. Sleep disturbance and TST each predicted pain, with greater sleep disturbance predicting greater levels of pain interference, and longer TST predicting lower levels of pain interference. Our second hypothesis, that positive and negative affect would each mediate the relationship between sleep and pain, was also supported. Both positive affect and negative affect mediated the relationship between sleep and pain interference, with poorer sleep leading to greater negative affect and higher pain interference, and better sleep predicting higher levels of positive affect and less pain interference. These findings were consistent whether sleep disturbance or TST was used as the predictor variable.

Extending previous research, results from the present study indicate that sleep not only predicts pain severity, but also predicts pain interference. Given the high prevalence of pain interference among patients with chronic pain, as well as its impact on daily functioning (Thomas et al., 2004), the results from the present study suggest that pain interference has been overlooked as a negative consequence of poor sleep. In addition, in light of recent findings suggesting a temporal relationship whereby poorer sleep increases pain (Dzierzewski et al., 2010; Finan et al., 2013), findings from the present study align with past recommendations in suggesting that routine assessment and treatment of sleep disturbance would benefit from being incorporated into the treatment of chronic pain (Dworkin et al., 2008).

One novel aspect of the present study was the examination of the unique roles of positive and negative affect on the relationship between sleep and pain interference in mid- to late-life. Though positive affect was previously shown to mediate the relationship between poor sleep quality and activity interference (Kothari et al., 2015), our study builds on previous findings in several important ways. First, our findings suggest that both positive affect and negative affect influence the relationship between sleep and pain interference. Secondly, given that prior work has focused on individuals with fibromyalgia, results from the present study suggest that affect mediates the sleep–pain relationship among individuals with heterogeneous chronic pain conditions.

These findings also shed more light on our understanding of the importance of affect in the experience of pain. Specifically, previous research has found that the experience of pain is subjective, and varies as a function of individual differences (Ong, Zautra, & Reid, 2004).
2010). Among patients with chronic pain, those who displayed greater resiliency and positive emotions experienced decreased pain catastrophizing (Ong et al., 2010). Additional research has found that positive affect reduces sensitivity to pain (Finan & Garland, 2015). The role of positive affect may be particularly relevant in the context of central sensitization, in which the central nervous system can become more sensitive to lower thresholds of pain over time. In fact, recent research examining the relationship between sleep and pain has found that individuals with more consistent and severe insomnia symptoms show stronger pain reactivity and poor sleep quality than their counterparts (Wei, Blanken, & Van Someren, 2018). Thus, as reviewed earlier, positive affect may serve as a buffer against the negative impacts of chronic pain.

Such an interpretation would be in line with the broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions (Fredrickson & Branigan, 2005). The broaden-and-build theory posits that experiencing positive emotions allows for individuals to broaden attentional scope to include a wider range of presently held thoughts and potential behaviours. On the contrary, negative emotions restrict this attentional scope, causing a narrowing of attention onto fewer thoughts and behaviours. This theory may be particularly relevant for patients with chronic pain, as research has suggested that inducing positive mood states can decrease pain ratings among patients with chronic pain (Tang et al., 2008). Therefore, positive emotions may help to broaden one’s thought repertoire during the experience of chronic pain, and allow the individual to move their attention outward rather than focus on the pain itself. Consequently, a reduction in the experience of pain may lead to a reduction in pain interference throughout one’s day as well.

However, our study’s findings add an additional layer to the role of emotions in pain interference. Namely, that sleep is an important factor in the promotion of positive or negative affect. Previous research has found that poor sleep results in increased physiological stress response (Minkel et al., 2014), as well as increased negative affect and reduced positive affect (McCrae et al., 2008). In one study assessing affect repeatedly throughout the day for 3 days, researchers found that poor sleep was significantly predictive of positive affect but was not predictive of negative affect, indicating that positive affect may be particularly influenced by sleep (Bower, Bylsma, Morris, & Rottenberg, 2010). Our study’s findings align with such work and indicate that while sleep is predictive of negative affect, it is also predictive of positive affect among patients with chronic pain.

4.1 Limitations

Though the present study has several strengths, it is not without limitations. First, sleep affect and pain information were collected cross-sectionally, thus not permitting an examination of the temporal association between these variables. Secondly, the MIDUS-III dataset lacked a measure of pain intensity, therefore pain intensity could not be included as a covariate in our statistical models and may correlate with participants’ affective ratings and influence pain interference. Third, while the use of abbreviated scales was beneficial for examining sleep and pain interference in a large sample, administration of commonly used and well-validated scales may provide greater information regarding these constructs and their relationships. Finally, the current study focused on examining the relationship between sleep, affect and pain interference in a community sample of adults in mid-to late-life. The degree of sleep disturbance and pain interference endorsed and the nature of the relationship between sleep, affect and pain interference may differ among specific chronic pain conditions (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis, fibromyalgia).

4.2 Future directions

Future work would benefit from utilizing longitudinal or experimental research designs in order to identify the temporal relationship between sleep, affect and pain interference. Specifically, the use of experimental designs would assist in establishing evidence of a causative link between sleep and affect or affect and pain interference. In addition, further research would benefit from including both direct and indirect measures of pain to examine the influence of sleep and affect on each type of measure. Incorporating varying methodology, such as neuroimaging, may shed some light on the exact nature of these associations as well. Furthermore, studies may benefit from measuring additional pain constructs such as pain intensity and pain beliefs to derive a more comprehensive understanding of an individual’s pain experience and its relationship with affect. Finally, other subjective or objective sleep measurement tools such as sleep diaries, self-report measures, actigraphy or polysomnography may be useful for capturing more detailed and comprehensive sleep information.

4.3 Conclusions

In conclusion, our results suggest that sleep impacts pain interference through affect, with poorer sleep predicting more negative affect and greater pain interference, and better sleep predicting more positive affect and lower pain interference. Importantly, these results highlight that pain interference in patients with chronic pain is significantly affected by sleep. Thus, interventions that simultaneously target the promotion of positive affect as well as the reduction of negative affect may be most beneficial for reduced pain interference. Based on our current findings, the promotion of better sleep may be one such way to concurrently address positive and negative affect. Our results add to our understanding of the mechanisms through which sleep impacts pain interference among patients with chronic pain.
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