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Abstract
While older adults (aged 65 years and older) are increasingly using social media, their usage rates still lag those of younger age groups. Social media use has been observed to have some positive effects on older adults’ well-being; however, divergent findings exist depending upon the sample, measures, and methodological approach. This review highlights what is currently known about social media use and well-being among older adults, identifies strengths and weaknesses of current research on this topic, and argues that methodological and content-related research gaps must be closed before researchers can confirm a positive overall effect of social media use in everyday situations for older adults.
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Social media use among older adults
Research examining social media use among older adults is increasing. As Table 1 illustrates, 18 articles were published in 2021 as of December, up from six articles in 2020. As technology use in general and SMU in particular are increasing among older adults, it is not unexpected that research on older adults’ SMU would also increase. Seventy-three percent of U.S. community-dwelling individuals (i.e., those who do not live in long-term care or other types of facilities) aged 50 to 64 reported using Facebook compared to approximately 50% of those aged 65 and older [7]. Among 50–64-year-olds, 83% reported using YouTube, 73% Facebook, and 38% Pinterest. Usage rates decrease for individuals aged 65

Introduction
More people around the world are turning 65 each day than at any point in history [1]. With high levels of chronic diseases, multimorbidity, and loss of social network ties among older adults (e.g., due to death of partners or geographical distance to social ties), social media use (SMU) may be one technologically focused way to keep older adults engaged with their social ties and society more generally as well as to reduce isolation and loneliness [2]. The construct of social media is broadly defined, with varying definitions and specific types of social media applications. Following Aichner et al. [3], we define it as a range of platforms, including social networking sites, virtual communities, blogs, social gaming, video sharing, and so forth, that allow users to share content and connect and interact with others online.

The current COVID-19 pandemic has led to a worldwide situation in which older adults, in particular, need to avoid physical contact. Digital solutions such as social media have been proposed as one way to help maintain contact [4,5]. Gerontological research emphasizes the heterogeneity of lifestyles in older adults, and thus also the diversity of their use of technical devices and services such as social media [6]. We provide an overview of recent research on SMU among older adults, primarily from the U.S. and Europe, and detail the extant research which links SMU to well-being for this population (see Table 1). A critical assessment of methodological issues and directions for future research are also documented.

Social media use among older adults
Research examining social media use among older adults is increasing. As Table 1 illustrates, 18 articles were published in 2021 as of December, up from six articles in 2020. As technology use in general and SMU in particular are increasing among older adults, it is not unexpected that research on older adults’ SMU would also increase. Seventy-three percent of U.S. community-dwelling individuals (i.e., those who do not live in long-term care or other types of facilities) aged 50 to 64 report using social media sites; however, this number decreases to 45% for individuals aged 65 and older [7]. In a representative Swiss study, only 29% of the 65 and older aged respondents used social networking sites, such as Facebook or Twitter; however, 81% used the Internet for chatting and writing to others [8]. The percentages of Internet use and SMU in care facilities such as nursing homes and dementia care centers are lower than those among community-dwelling older adults [9].

Facebook is the most commonly used social media site for older adults according to Pew’s 2021 U.S. study; 73% of community-dwelling individuals aged 50–64 reported using Facebook compared to approximately 50% of those aged 65 and older [7]. Among 50–64-year-olds, 83% reported using YouTube, 73% Facebook, and 38% Pinterest. Usage rates decrease for individuals aged 65
### Table 1

#### Current studies with select methodological details (2019–2021).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Sample size, age, and country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>SMU measures</th>
<th>Well-being measures</th>
<th>SMU and well-being results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2021</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrne et al. (2021) [14]</td>
<td>N = 4,315; 50 years and older; US</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional (Health &amp; Retirement Study 2016 wave)</td>
<td>Social technology use (self-report): 3-items measured how often communicate by: 1) Skype, 2) Facebook, or 3) Other social media with any of your (children, other family members, friends) omitting those they lived with [6-point Likert scale (1 = Less than once a year to never to 6 = Three or more times a week)].</td>
<td>Loneliness (11-item revised UCLA loneliness scale using a 3-point Likert scale), Perceived social support (12-items using a 4-point Likert scale), Perceived social negativity (16-items using a 4-point Likert scale), Social engagement (7-items using a 7-point Likert scale), Social contact (9-items using a 6-point Likert scale).</td>
<td>Social media use was associated with lower levels of loneliness. Social media use predicted frequency of social engagement and contact with family and friends, which is predictive of lower feelings of loneliness. Rural-dwelling older adults reported less social media use than suburban or urban-dwelling older adults. Rural older adults who used social media less frequently experienced higher levels of loneliness than urban older adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casanova et al. (2021) [13]</td>
<td>N = 39; 79–84 years; Italy</td>
<td>Qualitative (Semi-structured interviews with a sample of the participants who completed a pre-post SMU intervention)</td>
<td>SMU experience (self-report): 1) Close-ended (Yes/No) question on Facebook and WhatsApp use, 2) Those who answered &quot;Yes&quot; were asked open-ended questions on feeling about SMU, who they chatted with, how they used Facebook and WhatsApp, 3) Those who responded &quot;No&quot; were asked open-ended questions on feelings about SMU and barriers to SMU.</td>
<td>All participants answered questions on 1) SMU and 2) Social media usefulness to counteract loneliness.</td>
<td>SMU has the possibility to improve older adults’ social life and may help reduce feelings of loneliness, especially for those who live alone. Some older adults do not find value in online interactions through SMU and believe that the only way to achieve a social life is through offline social interactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casanova et al. (2021) [47]</td>
<td>Scoping review of experimental studies pertaining to older adults’ social media use and well-being.</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Facebook use (self-report): 1) Frequency of Facebook activities scale [5-point Likert scale (1 = Never to 5 = Always)], 2) Facebook intensity scale [5-point Likert scale for first 6-items (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree)], 3) Total number of Facebook friends, 4) Average time actively using Facebook in the past week.</td>
<td>Mattering (24-item Mattering index (Elliot, Kao, &amp; Grant, 2004;) using a 5-point Likert scale), Loneliness [ULS-8 using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree)], Social disconnectedness (LSNS-6 with responses ranging from 0 = None to 5 = Nine or more), Depression (PHQ-2 with responses ranging from 0 = Not at all to 3 = Nearly every day), Self-reported health [1-item measured with 5-point Likert (1 = Poor to 5 = excellent)].</td>
<td>Older adults who had more frequent Facebook activity use had more feelings of mattering and less feelings of loneliness. The relationship between Facebook use and loneliness was mediated by perceived mattering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis (2021) [48]</td>
<td>N = 415; 65 years and older; US</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Social technology use (self-report): 3-items measured how often communicate by: 1) Skype, 2) Facebook, or 3) Other social media with any of your (children, other family members, friends) omitting those they lived with [6-point Likert scale (1 = Less than once a year to never to 6 = Three or more times a week)].</td>
<td>Loneliness (11-item revised UCLA loneliness scale using a 3-point Likert scale), Perceived social support (12-items using a 4-point Likert scale), Perceived social negativity (16-items using a 4-point Likert scale), Social engagement (7-items using a 7-point Likert scale), Social contact (9-items using a 6-point Likert scale).</td>
<td>Social media use was associated with lower levels of loneliness. Social media use predicted frequency of social engagement and contact with family and friends, which is predictive of lower feelings of loneliness. Rural-dwelling older adults reported less social media use than suburban or urban-dwelling older adults. Rural older adults who used social media less frequently experienced higher levels of loneliness than urban older adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>SMU (self-report):</td>
<td>Physical Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fu &amp; Xie (2021)</td>
<td>1,278; 60 years and older</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional (2017 Chinese General Social Survey)</td>
<td>Amount of contact with family and friends through WeChat, mobile phones, or other network communication devices (Response options: 1 = All or almost all to 6 = I have not used any of these devices).</td>
<td>1-item measured with a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Always to 5 = Never).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaia et al. (2021)</td>
<td>26,313; 15 years and older (7,566 were 65 years and older)</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional (2017 Standard Eurobarometer 88 Survey)</td>
<td>Frequency of social media use (Every day/Almost every day to Don’t know).</td>
<td>Life satisfaction [1-item (Very satisfied to Don’t know)].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hajek &amp; Konig (2021)</td>
<td>16; 60–80 years</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Qualitative (Semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>Open-ended questions on 1) Benefits/barriers to SMU, 2) Reasons for SMU, 3) Differences between SMU and other communication options.</td>
<td>Social influences (Open-ended question on how family/friends feel about SMU). Health (Open-ended question on direct and indirect health impacts of SMU and an example of a time SMU affected health).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al. (2021)</td>
<td>1,026; 60 years and older</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional Online social engagement (self-report): How often, if ever, have you done the following online using a computer, a tablet, or a smartphone? 1) Looked at photos of family members, 2) Looked at photos of people you care about who are not family members, 3) Looked at people’s updates on social media, 4) Clicked on links people shared on social media, 5) Asked a question in a forum/social media, 6) Answered a question someone else had asked in a forum/social media, 7) Cheked in on a person who was suddenly absent from an online</td>
<td>Anxiety (5-item Beck Anxiety Inventory), Depression (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale), Self-reported health (1-item measured from Excellent to Poor).</td>
<td>Anxiety (5-item Beck Anxiety Inventory), Depression (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale), Self-reported health (1-item measured from Excellent to Poor).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Sample size, age, and country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>SMU measures</th>
<th>Well-being measures</th>
<th>SMU and well-being results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kouvon et al. (2021) [30]</td>
<td>$N = 1,082$; 50 years and older; Finland</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional (The Care, Health and Ageing of Russian-speaking Minority in Finland)</td>
<td>SMU (self-report): Do you use social media (Yes/No).</td>
<td>Depression (8-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale), Self-rated health (1-item measured from Good to Poor).</td>
<td>Older adults with symptoms of depression had higher likelihood of social media non-use, even when controlling for sex, age, marital status, education, income, citizenship, and type of participation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sala et al. (2021) [25]</td>
<td>$N = 16,925$; 55 years and older; Italy</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional (Multipurpose Survey - Aspects of Everyday Living 2018)</td>
<td>SMU (self-report): In the last 3 months: 1) Used instant messaging, 2) Participated in social networking site, 3) Expressed opinion on social networking site, 4) Participated in professional networks, or 5) Uploaded content (1 = Yes to any of the options, 0 = No to all options).</td>
<td>Life satisfaction (1-item measured on scale of 0–10), Self-rated health (1-item measured from Very good to Very bad), Depression (MHS), Frequency of contact with friends (Responses ranged from Every day to I haven't got any friends), Perceived social support (combined 3-items).</td>
<td>Older adults who use social media have higher life satisfaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheldon, et al. (2021) [23]</td>
<td>$N = 293$; 50–91 years; US</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Facebook use (self-report): 1) Do you use Facebook? (Yes/No), 2) Those that said &quot;Yes&quot; completed 33-item scale measuring motivation for use [5-point Likert scale (1 = Very unimportant to 5 = Very important)]. Instagram use (self-report): 1) Do you use Instagram? (Yes/No), 2) Those that said &quot;Yes&quot; completed 24-item scale measuring motivation</td>
<td>Life position indicators (Interpersonal interaction, Social activity, Life satisfaction, Economic security, Physical health) [15-life position statements (3 for each indicator) using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree)].</td>
<td>Older adults who were more content in life were less likely to use Facebook or Instagram to ease feelings of loneliness or boredom. Facebook using older adults who were satisfied with their offline interactions were less likely to go on Facebook when bored, lonely, or to meet new people. Instagram using older adults who participated in more...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design/Methodology</td>
<td>Sample Details</td>
<td>Measured Variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vogel et al. (2021) [20]</td>
<td>Mixed-Methods</td>
<td>Platform Data: N = 92; 18 years and older; Online Survey N = 28; 44–82 years; Interview N = 8; 47–84 years; Germany</td>
<td>MyNeighbors Platform data (User activity and contributions), Online survey [50-items about the platform using a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Agree to 4 = Disagree), multiple-choice, and open-ended questions], Interview (open-ended questions on platform usage).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. (2021) [19]</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>N = 221; 50 and older; China</td>
<td>Online Survey containing questions about social connectedness and social participation using a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Agree to 4 = Disagree), Interviews (Open-ended questions on social connectedness and social participation).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yildirim &amp; Ogel-Balaban (2021) [29]</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>N = 70; 55–84 years; Turkey</td>
<td>Assessment of Facebook use (self-report): Facebook form including questions on: 1) Number of Facebook friends, 2) Time spent daily on Facebook, 3) Length of time having an account, 4) Patterns of use including uploading photos, posting status, liking/commenting on others’ contents, following posts [5-point short-term memory (Forward digit span task), Working memory (Backward digit span test, Trail Making Test B), Set-shifting (Trail Making Test B), Inhibitory control (Stroop task), Visual scanning ability (Trail Making Test A), Processing speed (Trail Making Test A, Digit Symbol Substitution Test), Semantic fluency (Category fluency test), Verbal fluency (Letter fluency test), Verbal episodic memory, and processing speed. Older adults who have had a Facebook account longer perform better in short-term memory and working memory.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Offline social activities were more likely to go on Instagram for scrolling, posting updates, or showing off. Older adults who feel more socially connected are less likely to use Instagram for scrolling, when bored/lonely, to find new people, to post updates, or to show off.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Sample size, age, and country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>SMU measures</th>
<th>Well-being measures</th>
<th>SMU and well-being results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhao (2021) [26]</td>
<td>N = 306; 55 years and older; China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Social use (self-report): 3-item measurement on making new friends, finding old friends, and keeping in touch with friends through social media, Entertainment use (self-report): 2-item measurement on using social media to enjoy fun and to spend time online [5-point Likert scale was used for both (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree)].</td>
<td>Social integration [4-item Social Integration Scale using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree)], Life satisfaction [5-item Migration Life Satisfaction Measurement Scale using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree)], Self-esteem [10-item Self–Esteem Scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 4 = Strongly agree)].</td>
<td>Older adults with lower self-esteem tend to spend more time using social media for social purposes and entertainment purposes. Those who spend more time on social media for entertainment purposes feel a lesser sense of belonging. Older adults with higher SMU for social purposes feel a greater sense of belonging and are more satisfied with life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al. (2021) [17]</td>
<td>N = 244; 60 years and older; China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Mobile SMU (self-report): 1) Average time spent on social media daily (1 = Less than 1 hour to 5 = More than 4 hours), 2) Reliance [2-items using 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree)].</td>
<td>Social integration, Life satisfaction, Social capital (4-item bonded social capital scale and 4-item bridging social capital scale), both using a 5-point Likert scale with responses from 1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree).</td>
<td>Older adults who spend more time using social media daily have higher feelings of social connectedness and life satisfaction. SMU indirectly improves older adults’ sense of belonging by increasing feelings of social connectedness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Başak (2020) [22]</td>
<td>N = 233 (S1) N = 2,591 (S2); 50 years and older; Turkey</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Facebook use (self-report) S2: 1) Daily time spent on Facebook, 2) Number of Facebook friends.</td>
<td>Facebook Social Benefit Scale (5-point Likert scale).</td>
<td>Online social connectedness was higher for Facebook using older adults who are 60 years and older, non-employed or retired, spend more than two hours online daily, and have more Facebook friends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark &amp; Maloney (2020) [21]</td>
<td>N = 127; 65–94 years; Australia</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Facebook use (self-report): 1) Daily Facebook use [7-point Likert scale (1 = Very rarely to 7 = Very frequently)], 2) Estimate of daily time on Facebook, 3) Frequency of engagement with 10 different Facebook activities [7-point Likert scale (1 = Never to 7 = Always)].</td>
<td>Relatedness, Competence, and Autonomy [18-item Balanced Measure of Psychological Needs scale using a 7-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 7 = Strongly agree)], Mobility [2-item adapted version of the Life-Space Assessment of personal mobility using a 7-point Likert scale (1 = Never to 7 = All the time)].</td>
<td>Older adults who use Facebook more frequently reported feeling more socially connected compared to older adults who use Facebook less frequently. Less mobile older adults, compared to older adults with medium and high mobility, spend more time each day on Facebook. For older adults who are not employed, using Facebook daily may increase feelings of social connectedness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Authors and Year</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Key Measures</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al. (2020) [18]</td>
<td>1,399; 55 years and older; China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional (Wave 1 of a national baseline survey)</td>
<td>Social media engagement (self-report): 1) Number of friends on WeChat, 2) Average weekly time using WeChat, 3) Diversity of WeChat activities [18-items (Yes/No)]. Informal social participation [6-items using a 4-point Likert scale (1 = Rarely to 4 = Very often)], Formal social participation [4-items using a 4-point Likert scale (1 = rarely to 4 = very often)], Self-reported health [5-point Likert scale (1 = very poor to 5 = very healthy)], Vision [10-item acuity test for font size (Yes/No responses), Hearing [Volume voice test (1 = No to 3 = Very clear)], Mobility [Need for a physical aid outside the home (1 = Not at all to 3 = Almost every time)], Cognitive functioning (12-items).</td>
<td>Facebook less frequently was related to less social connectedness compared to those who used Facebook more frequently. WeChat users who have more diverse WeChat activities (social networking, information sharing and creation, payment services, and advanced creation) and those with more WeChat friends have higher informal social participation (e.g., dance activities sports/exercise activities) and formal social participation (e.g., social group and/or organizational activities).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoo &amp; Yang (2020) [35]</td>
<td>1,735; 40–74 years; US</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional [Midlife in the United States Refresher Cognitive Project]</td>
<td>SMU (self-report): 1) Frequency of SMU to contact distant family members (who have not lived with them) in the past year, 2) Frequency of SMU to contact friends in the past year. Using an 8-point Likert scale for both (1 = Several times a day to 8 = Never or hardly ever).</td>
<td>Perceived social support (4-items with responses from 1 = A lot, 4 = Not at all), Sense of control [Personal mastery and Perceived constraints; 12-item using 7-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly agree to 7 = Strongly disagree)] Executive functioning (30-min Brief Test of Adult Cognition by telephone), Health status (7-item Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale and total number of chronic diseases).</td>
<td>Frequent SMU by older adults to connect with family and friends positively predicted executive functioning through increased feelings of social support and a decreased perception of constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meshi et al. (2020) [24]</td>
<td>213; 60 years and older; US</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>SMU (self-report): 1) Average daily use for personal reasons, 2) Problematic SMU (6-item BSMAS 5-point Likert scale (1 = Very rarely to 5 = Very often)].</td>
<td>Perceived social isolation [PROMIS social isolation scale using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = never to 5 = always)], Depression [PROMIS depression scale using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = never to 5 = always)].</td>
<td>Older adults who had less problematic SMU were more likely to have low perceived social isolation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu &amp; Chiu (2020) [31]</td>
<td>153; 60 years and older; Taiwan</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>SMU (self-report): 1) Familiar with the use of social media (Yes/No), 2) Frequency of use during a week (1–7 days), 3) Types used.</td>
<td>Functional ability (Barthel Index of activities of daily living 36 and Lawton’s instrumental activities of daily living scale), Perceived social support (Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support), Intergenerational relationships (Intergenerational Relationship Scale), Depression (GDS-15), Cmorbidity (Yes/No), Perceived health status (Poor to excellent), Medications (Yes/No), Sleep quality (Poor to excellent), Nap habits (Yes/No), Regular exercise (Yes/No), Leisure activities (Yes/No).</td>
<td>Older adults familiar with SMU had more social support. Those who were familiar with SMU, who had stronger intergenerational relationships, and who had higher perceived social support, had less feelings of depression.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and older—Facebook and YouTube were 50% and 49%, respectively, followed by 18% who used Pinterest. Though Snapchat is popular with young adults (65% reported using it), only 2% of individuals aged 65 and older used this platform [7].

While it is useful to understand older adults’ usage of social media in general and specific types of social media use, understanding how social media use is related to well-being is important for helping older adults to stay connected with their social ties to stave off loneliness and enhance their quality of life.

**Social media use and well-being**

The relationships between SMU and well-being among older adults are not well understood at this time. Does SMU lead to changes in well-being or do aspects of well-being lead older adults to use social media in particular ways? Given the lack of detailed and representative longitudinal data on the diversity of Internet use in general and SMU in particular among older adults, it is challenging to form a consensus as to how SMU and well-being are related [2,10,11]. With this caveat, we present the current state of research in these domains.

**Social connection, isolation, and loneliness**

Social connection, isolation, and loneliness are the most widely examined well-being outcomes of SMU among older adults. Digital social networks (e.g., Facebook groups) are suitable for providing and receiving social support (e.g., information or emotional encouragement), regardless of geographical location or time [10]. Older adults enjoy using social media, as it is an easy way to initiate contact and maintain connections with family and friends [12,13]. Among elder orphans (e.g., older adults who are unmarried, live by themselves, and don’t have living children), those who had more frequent Facebook activity use had lower perceived loneliness; the relationship between Facebook use and loneliness was mediated by perceived mattering (i.e., perceiving that others are aware of you, think you are important, and rely on you) [13]. In an analysis of the U.S. Health and Retirement Study data, higher frequency of SMU was found to predict increased frequency of social contact and social engagement (i.e., less social isolation), which in turn predicted lower levels of loneliness [14]. In this same study, Byrne et al. reported that minorities (i.e., Asian, American Indian/Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and others) with higher SMU reported higher levels of social contact, and that rural older adults who used social media less frequently experienced higher levels of loneliness than urban older adults with comparable levels of SMU [14]. A current European study revealed that daily users of online social networks reported lower social isolation scores...
SMU has an indirect positive association with social integration mainly through social capital [16,17]; for example, the diversity in WeChat activities (i.e., social networking, information sharing and creation, payment services, and advanced creation) and the number of WeChat friends positively predicted offline social participation [18]. A study with older Chinese adults residing in long-term care found that the relationship between active WeChat use and loneliness was mediated by online social support [19]. Furthermore, using SMU for social connection could positively affect older adults’ social well-being [17,20]. Older adults who spent more time using social media felt more socially connected offline [14,21] and online [22]; conversely, older adult Instagram users who were in regular contact with family and friends offline used Instagram less often to follow others, document their own lives, or engage in self-promotion [23]. Non-employed older adults who spent more time on Facebook reported feeling more socially connected; however, the same relationship was not found for employed older adults or for older adults living alone or with others [21]. Moreover, older adults who had more problematic SMU, as determined by the Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale, reported feeling more socially isolated; however, average daily SMU in this sample was not associated with perceived social isolation [24].

Life satisfaction
Like social connection, life satisfaction can be a predictor as well as an outcome of SMU. SMU has been positively associated with life satisfaction [17,25–27]. By contrast, research shows that older adult Facebook users who were satisfied with their lives were less likely to use Facebook to meet new people or to distract themselves when bored or feeling lonely [15,17]. Likewise, a sample of 306 Chinese adults aged 55 and older showed that those with lower self-esteem spent more time actively using social media for social purposes (e.g., communication and interaction, updating status, and commenting) [23].

In addition to general SMU, specific activities while using social media, such as tagging pictures of friends on Facebook, have been related to increased life satisfaction among older adults [28]. On the other hand, other social media activities, such as relationship maintenance, entertainment, photo uploads, or status updates have not been significantly associated with life satisfaction [23,28,29].

Mental health, physical health, and cognitive ability
SMU may also have varying effects on health. Kouvonen et al. [30] found that older adults with symptoms of depression had higher likelihood of social media nonuse. In contrast, one small-scale study reported that higher levels of SMU were associated with more depressive symptoms [31]. Whereas, Hofer and Hargittai [32] did not find a significant relationship between the frequency of SMU and depression or anxiety. However, there were significant associations based on the type of SMU and depression or anxiety. Older adults who were more prone to check in on someone who was missing from an online community reported higher feelings of anxiety and higher feelings of depression. Those who looked at status updates more often had lower feelings of anxiety. Older adults who spent more time looking at photos of others had more feelings of anxiety. Those with a higher likelihood to answer questions that someone else asked in a forum/on social media had higher depressive symptoms. For older adults in pain or experiencing feelings of depression, SMU can offset the decline in offline social interactions [31,33]. Older adults with higher SMU (namely, using WeChat, mobile phones, or other network communication devices) were in better physical and mental health and exhibited lower depression levels [34]. Older adults who were less mobile spent more time on Facebook daily than those who were more mobile [17]. Moreover, older adults with higher feelings of depression exhibited more problematic SMU, and those with problematic SMU felt more socially isolated [24].

Research is beginning to show that SMU for social connection may protect against cognitive decline in older adults [35]. Frequent SMU to connect with family and friends positively predicted executive functioning, through increased feelings of social support and decreased perception of their inability to control obstacles that keep them from achieving their goals [35]. Processing-speed score (TMT-A) was positively correlated with passive (e.g., browsing) and active (e.g., posting) Facebook use; however, no significant differences were found between Facebook users and non-Facebook users when comparing short-term memory, working memory, semantic fluency, verbal fluency, verbal episodic memory, or processing speed (DSST) [29].

SMU has been perceived as a way to remain cognitively engaged, improve communication, and increase social connectedness [12,29]. However, some older adults have reported social media addiction as a consequence of SMU [12]. And, barriers to SMU included the perception that the quality of communication is less personal and that, if they shared self-identifying information on social media sites, they increased their vulnerability to risks [12,29]. Given the paucity of research focusing on cognitive changes and SMU, it will be interesting to see how research advances in this area in the coming years.
As these sections have illustrated, the relationships between SMU and well-being vary depending upon the measurement of both SMU and particular aspects of well-being, as well as the samples included. Well-being may be a predictor of SMU as well as SMU predicting well-being. The next sections elaborate on current research gaps and suggest ways to advance research on these topics.

Current research gaps

Though few studies of older adults and SMU have historically relied on large, representative samples, the size of research samples is increasing. See Table 1 for an overview of studies from the past three years on older adults’ SMU and well-being. Unfortunately, however, many studies have not followed older adults over time to ascertain how SMU changes [33,36].

Another limitation is that measurement of key SMU constructs and well-being outcomes are not well developed in many studies (see Table 1) [36]. For example, the National Health and Aging Trends longitudinal study of older adults (https://www.nhats.org) has valid and reliable measures of well-being outcomes, but the SMU measures are limited and often combined with other types of Internet-use activities. Research on younger age groups has shown that the effects of SMU on well-being may depend on whether the use is active or passive in nature [37]. Studies with older adults assess whether individuals have gone online to visit social media sites over the past month yet fail to ascertain how frequently this occurs or whether the SMU is active, passive, or some combination of these types. For example, the Survey of Health, Ageing, and Retirement in Europe (http://www.share-project.org) measures Internet use among people aged 50 years and older only with a Yes/No response option and does not differentiate between SMU or other Internet-related purposes [38,39]. Almost no information about daily varied SMU (e.g., dynamics in day-to-day use) has been included in recent research [40]; and of the studies that do measure SMU frequency, all have relied on self-reported use, which can be subject to recall bias [41,42]. While Pew Research often has more in-depth measures of technology use than many other larger-scale survey studies, they almost never include health or well-being measures. As Cotten et al. [43] noted a decade ago in relation to Internet use, we suggest that understanding the type, amount, purpose, and timing of use is necessary for determining how SMU may impact well-being outcomes.

An outline for advancing research on older adults’ SMU and well-being

As this review has illustrated, research advancement is needed that (a) utilizes more expansive existing measures of SMU that adequately assess the potential scope of SMU activities, most of which have thus far primarily been used with younger age groups, (b) creates better measures of SMU that are tailored to older adults’ lives in general, including cognitive and physical limitations, as well as new forms of social media that may increasingly appeal to older adults (i.e., gaming and virtual reality [44]), (c) monitors how SMU changes over time, and (d) ascertains the dynamics of daily use (e.g., using an ambulatory assessment method (collecting data within the everyday life of participants as they move through their social worlds given mobility and technological barriers they encounter), through the use of tracking data of SMU from participants’ smartphones). Given that studies show that individuals are not good at estimating how much time they spend using technology [41,42], both objective and subjective measures of SMU should be included in future studies, and these studies should also include a range of well-being outcomes.

Longitudinal studies are also needed to parse out the causal ordering of relationships between SMU and well-being, to identify situations when SMU is beneficial versus not, and to determine how this varies over time and across situations among older adults. One possibility is to include the situational variance in everyday life (e.g., the fluctuating use of social media depending on the aspect of whether the person is alone or not) to account for the manner in which SMU differs between days and situations [43], and to measure disconnection from and switching between social media tools [46]. To fully advance research on this topic, we must also include samples that are reflective of the diversity of older adults, rather than just those that are easily accessible, mentally fit, dwelling in the community, and skilled in using digital technologies. We urge researchers to push these boundaries in the coming years to give us a better understanding of how older adults use social media and the impacts that this use has on their social lives.
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Seifert A, Rössel J: Digital participation


WeChat usage was analyzed from 1309 older adult participants of wave 1 of a national baseline study. The authors found that older adults with more diverse WeChat activities (social networking, information sharing and creation, payment services, and advanced creation) and older adults with more WeChat friends had more informal social participation and formal social participation. This study extends SMU research by older adults by analyzing the different activities while using social media.


The author tested the Facebook Social Benefit Scale (FSBS) and found that it is a valid reliable measure. Then using the FSBS, the author found that feelings of online social connectedness were higher for Facebook using older adults who are 60 years and older, non-employed or retired, spent more than two hours online daily, and had more Facebook friends.


The authors found that among the 153 Taiwanese older adults in this study, social media usage was a significant predictor of symptoms of depression. Older adults who were familiar with social media had lower depressive symptoms and more social support.


The authors analysed the longitudinal data of 3401 participants from the National Health and Aging Trends Study waves 3 and 4. They found that for older adults’ in pain, social media use can make up for less offline social interactions, especially pertaining to feelings of depression. This study is one of a limited number that use a longitudinal design to study SMU by older adults.


The authors analyzed data of 1735 participants from the Midlife in the United States Refresher Cognitive Project and found that older adults with a higher use of social media to connect with others predicted increased executive functioning through increased feelings of social support and a decreased perception of constraints. This study extends previous research on older adults’ SMU.


