

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

SSM - Population Health

SSM-POPULATION HEALTH

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ssmph

The effect of social network strain on suicidal ideation among middle-aged adults with adverse childhood experiences in the US: A twelve-year nationwide study

Yunyu Xiao^{a,*}, Timothy T. Brown^b

^a Department of Population Health Sciences, Weill Cornell Medicine, NewYork-Presbyterian, USA ^b School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Social networks Suicidal ideation Adverse childhood experiences Instrumental variables Mid-life	Objective: Building on literature that measured the association between social network strain (SNS) and suicidal ideation using conventional regression analyses, we examined the effect of SNS, due to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), on suicidal ideation using instrumental variables (IV) to eliminate the potential biases that may have occurred in earlier studies due to residual confounding.
	<i>Methods:</i> This Ferrospective conort study linked longitudinal data from the National Survey of Midlife Devel- opment in the United States (MIDUS) Refresher Biomarker Project (2012–2016), the MIDUS Refresher Project (2011–2014), the MIDUS 2 Biomarker Project (2004–2009), and the MIDUS 2 Project (2004–2006). Participants completed a phone interview, self-administered survey, and biomarker data collection. Exposure indicators included self-reported suicidal ideation, ACEs, and SNS from family, spouse, and friends. IV analysis was used to evaluate the continuous local average treatment effect of SNS on suicidal ideation when SNS only varied due to variation in ACEs.
	<i>Results:</i> Our sample included 1703 middle-aged adults (52.9% females), which were followed up for 12 years. An IV probit model controlling for sociodemographic characteristics found a one-standard-deviation reduction in SNS reduced suicidal ideation by 22.6% ($p < 0.01$). A comprehensively controlled IV probit model found that a one-standard-deviation reduction in SNS is associated with a 21.4% ($p = 0.05$) decrease in suicidal ideation. <i>Conclusions:</i> The causal pathway from SNS (due to ACEs) to suicidal ideation among middle-aged adults was established using IV analysis in this large-scale longitudinal study. The magnitude of this effect is sufficient to warrant the development of programs to improve social network relationships among family, friends, and
	spouses/partners. Suicide prevention programs addressing SNS may significantly reduce suicidal ideation among middle-aged Americans who have experienced ACEs.

1. Introduction

Suicide is the second leading cause of death among individuals 10–34 years and the fourth among people ages 35–54 in the US (CDC, 2021). Suicidal ideation and attempts among midlife adults in US emergency departments (ED) increased by 21% from 2001 to 2020 (CDC, 2022). Suicidal ideation is a risk factor for later-life suicide attempts and suicide deaths (Baca-Garcia et al., 2011; McHugh et al., 2019; Rossom et al., 2017; Rubio et al., 2020). Around 60% of suicidal ideation transitions to suicide plans and attempts that occur within the first year of suicidal ideation onset (Nock et al., 2009). Effectively

identifying and treating individuals with suicidal ideation is key to preventing both suicide attempts and suicide deaths (Czeisler et al., 2021; Walsh et al., 2021).

The complex nature of suicidal ideation, however, creates challenges in making causal inferences regarding prediction, primarily due to uncontrolled confounding that can result in parameter bias (Belsher et al., 2019; García de la Garza et al., 2021; Walsh et al., 2021). Additionally, most previous studies used cross-sectional designs, where reverse causation often cannot be ruled out because suicidal behaviors may occur before, and increase the levels of many risk factors (Brent et al., 2019; Gould et al., 2005). Therefore, most risk factors of suicide

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101120

Received 27 January 2022; Received in revised form 3 May 2022; Accepted 6 May 2022 Available online 20 May 2022

^{*} Corresponding author. Weill Cornell Medicine, NewYork-Presbyterian, Department of Population Health Sciences, DV 306, 425 East 61 Street, New York, NY, 10065, USA.

E-mail addresses: yux4008@med.cornell.edu (Y. Xiao), timothy.brown@berkeley.edu (T.T. Brown).

^{2352-8273/© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

reported in the previous literature, while valuable, are either associations or have a narrow context within which causal interpretation is possible based on a meta-analysis (Franklin et al., 2017).

Causality is essential to determine whether interventions targeting modifiable factors can reduce suicidal ideation and subsequent fatal suicidal behaviors (Franklin et al., 2017). We present the first study determining the causal effect of social network strain on suicidal ideation. We focus on SNS because existing studies highlighted the importance of identifying risks beyond major psychiatric disorders (Mann et al., 2005; Xiao & Lindsey, 2021a), and SNS is a highly modifiable factor.

1.1. Social network strains and suicidal ideation

Social network strain (SNS) is defined as negative perceptions and experiences arising from social network interactions (Walen & Lachman, 2000), including too many demands, criticisms, disappointments, and irritations from interactions with family members, friends, and spouses/partners. Theoretically, Durkheim (1952, 2005) suggested suicide deaths are a result of social conflicts in social integration and moral regulation. In particular, a lack of social integration can increase social disconnection and suicide risk. Joiner's (2005) Interpersonal Theory of Suicide (IPTS) further states that thwarted belongingness and perceived burdensomeness can introduce suicidal ideation through hopelessness (Van Orden et al., 2010). For example, interpersonal hopelessness was found to predict suicidal ideation over time (Rogers & Joiner, 2019; Tucker et al., 2018). The three-step theory (3ST) of suicide by Klonsky and May (2015) formulates suicidal ideation as resulting from the combination of psychological pain and hopelessness, where positive social networks are protective factors against escalating suicidal ideation. Both IPTS and 3ST are supported by interpersonal and psychological correlates of suicide (Klonsky et al., 2021).

More recently, the strain theory of suicide (STS) posits that suicide is usually preceded by psychological strain (Zhang et al., 2011). Strains are defined as pressures or stresses that pull the individual in different directions and/or the lack of coping ability in a crisis. STS suggests at least four sources of strain: differential values, reality versus aspiration, relative deprivation, and deficient coping.

SNS from the demands, criticisms, disappointments, and irritations of family, friends, and partners/spouses can indicate a lack of social integration, thwarted belongingness, and/or perceived burdensomeness, psychological pain and hopelessness, and/or any of the four types of strains listed above (Zhang et al., 2011). These robust theoretical reasons support the contention that people with more SNS are more likely to exhibit suicidal ideation.

Empirically, numerous studies have examined the associations between SNS and suicidal ideation. Lew et al. (2020) recently found that SNS, resulting from conflicting and competing pressures in an individual's life, are associated with greater risks of suicidal ideation. Positive associations between strains and suicide have also been identified in people who have attempted suicide (Sun & Zhang, 2016), including young people and celebrities (Zhang et al., 2013). These studies offer a strong rationale for testing whether SNS may be part of the causal pathway leading to suicidal ideation and thus a key intervention target in preventing suicidal ideation (Chang et al., 2017; Morina et al., 2021; National Academies of Sciences, 2020). To date, however, this causal relationship has not been established.

1.2. Adverse childhood experiences and suicidal ideation

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) include all types of abuse and neglect (e.g., emotional abuse, sexual abuse, physical abuse, emotional neglect, physical neglect) as well as exposure to household challenges (e. g., parental divorce, parental depression, alcohol or drug abuse of any parent) experienced by children under 18 (Merrick et al., 2018). An estimated 62% of adults surveyed across 23 states in the US reported having at least one ACE, and nearly one-quarter reported having three or more ACEs (Merrick et al., 2018).

ACEs exhibit a dose-response relationship with physical and mental health problems in adulthood, including suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths (Pournaghash-Tehrani et al., 2021; Thompson et al., 2019). An accumulation of ACEs was associated with 1.4 odds of suicide ideation and 2.7 odds of suicide attempts (Thompson et al., 2019). However, ACEs may not be associated with suicidal ideation directly (Mann, 2003; Sachs-Ericsson, Rushing, Stanley, & Sheffler, 2016). There is evidence that strengthening social networks may prevent suicidal behaviors. High levels of support from teachers and friends offset the impact of familial adversity for non-suicidal self-injury and suicidal behaviors among 9th-graders (Forster et al., 2020). Recent studies have shown that ACEs may increase the risks of suicidal ideation through their negative effects on interpersonal social network relationships (Johnson et al., 2002; Polanco-Roman et al., 2021). While none of these important studies estimated causal relationships, they are sufficient to hypothesize that SNS may cause suicidal ideation and are likely to vary by each individual's level of ACEs.

Addressing whether the tendency of SNS to cause suicidal ideation increases as ACEs increase is a critical, but debatable question. It is critical because SNS is modifiable whether or not ACEs are present, offering a promising target for suicide prevention. On the other hand, it is necessarily debatable as causal impacts are difficult to demonstrate.

1.3. Current study: solving methodological challenges and the potential of an instrumental variable approach

Instrumental variable (IV) models, long used in health economics to draw causal inferences when using observational data, are increasingly being applied to ascertain causal relationships in medical and psychiatric research (Desai et al., 2018; Maciejewski & Brookhart, 2019; Ohlsson & Kendler, 2020). Since the selected instrument serves as a proxy for a randomization process, this approach offers the opportunity to correct parameter bias arising due to endogeneity: confounding, reverse causation, and random measurement error (Kim et al., 2011; Ohlsson & Kendler, 2020).

The current study focuses on the causal effect of SNS on the probability of suicidal ideation, using ACEs as the exogenous instrument in an IV model (Fig. 1). The key assumptions are that ACEs are exogenous, strongly and monotonically correlated with SNS, and only affect suicidal ideation through SNS, conditional on all other pathways between ACEs and suicidal ideation being accounted for via the inclusion of appropriate covariates (Kalmakis & Chandler, 2015). By isolating the variations in SNS to those due to ACEs (using ACEs as an IV) can overcome endogeneity bias and yield valid effect estimates (Wooldridge, 2015a; 2015b).

Our study design is further strengthened by the time ordering of our longitudinal data following middle-aged adults nationwide for over twelve years (Fig. 1). ACEs necessarily occurred prior to assessing participants' SNS (in midlife), and SNS was assessed before suicidal ideation outcomes (during follow-up surveys). Since ACEs necessarily occur before adulthood, they are exogenous (their cause is external to the model). Other things equal, ACEs affect SNS, which affects suicidal ideation. To our knowledge, this is the first study to apply IV analysis to estimate the causal effect of SNS on suicidal ideation and to provide an unbiased estimate of this population-level health benefit among midlife adults.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Data and participants

We compiled a longitudinal dataset from four samples of the National Survey of Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS) study (Brim et al., 2019), a longitudinal investigation of health among



Fig. 1. Conceptual Model for Causal Mediation Analysis in Instrumental-Variable Regressions

The relationship between social networks strains (SNS) and suicidal ideation (SI), can be estimated using our instrument variable (ACEs), that are valid conditional on included covariates, X (see 2.2.4). All variables in X are included to close off backdoor paths (non-causal paths) between SNS and SI, or in econometric terms, to remove any correlation between the set of instruments and the error term.

middle-aged adults (See Fig. 2). The first wave of the MIDUS study collected survey data from a total of 7108 participants aged 25 and 74 years old through a national RDD (random digit dialing) primarily in 1995/96. The first sample was drawn from the MIDUS 2 Project (2004-2006), which contains a 10-year follow-up sample of participants (aged 35-86) from the original MIDUS 1 baseline cohort using phone interviews, self-administered questionnaires via mail, and a separate sample of African American participants from Milwaukee (Ryff et al., 2010). The second sample consisted of the MIDUS 2 Biomarker Project (2004-2009), a longitudinal follow-up subsample of MIDUS 2, which contains biological assessments to facilitate the identification of biopsychosocial pathways that contribute to diverse health outcomes (Ryff et al., 2010). The third sample contains participants aged 25-74 from the MIDUS Refresher Study (2011-2014), designed to replenish the original MIDUS 1 baseline cohort and collect the same comprehensive assessments (Ryff et al., 2016). Data were collected through a 30-min phone interview followed by two 50-page mailed self-administered questionnaires. The last sample was from the MIDUS Refresher Biomarker study (2012–2016), which paralleled the purpose and design of the MIDUS 2 Biomarker Project (Love et al., 2010). Subjects were recruited through a two-step process: mailed recruitment packet and phone call to schedule a Clinical Research Units (CRU) visit. Informed consent was obtained from all participants (Desai et al., 2018).

The response rates of the questionnaires for the four samples were 81.0%, 39.3%, 73.0%, and 41.5%, respectively. While the lower response rates in follow-up samples may impact external validity, they do not impact internal validity as selection bias is controlled for using an IV. Following previous research (Boylan et al., 2020; Yip et al., 2021), the current study combined these four samples. We followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guidelines for cohort studies. This study was not required to obtain ethics approval since it uses publicly-available data that contain no identifiable private information. Therefore, it is not considered human subjects research by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at the University of California, as defined by federal regulations at 45 CFR 46.102 (DHHS) and/or 21 CFR 50.3 (FDA).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Outcome variable: suicidal ideation

Suicidal ideation was measured with one five-point question in the Mood and Anxiety Symptoms Questionnaire (MASQ) in the MIDUS 2 Biomarker (2004–2009) and Refresher Biomarker (2012–2016) projects (Watson et al., 1995): "During the past week, how much you have felt or experienced thoughts about death or suicide?" A binary variable was created to indicate past-week suicidal ideation (having "a little bit" up to "extreme" thoughts of suicide) vs. non-suicidal ideation cases (having "no" thoughts of suicide). Single-question measures of suicidal ideation have been widely used in previous research (e.g., the Patient Health Questionnaire) (Kroenke et al., 2001) and have demonstrated good validity in clinical assessment (Walker et al., 2010).

2.2.2. Predictor variable: social networks strain

SNS was a score calculated from the mean of the values across the 12 items (eTable 1) of the SNS measure. Subjects were asked four questions of each of the three network groups (family, friends, spouse) concerning the frequency of 1) being criticized, 2) receiving too many demands, 3) someone in the relevant group getting on one's nerves, and 4) being let down. Each variable ranges from 4 "Often" to 1 "Never." For individuals without a spouse/partner, we treated their spouse-level SNS as zero. The fact that SNS was measured during the MIDUS 2 (2004–2006) and MIDUS Refresher (2011–2014) projects (prior to suicidal ideation outcomes) eliminated the possibility of reverse causation (Lee et al., 2019). Family strain, spousal strain, and friend strain have been validated and have demonstrated good reliability (Grzywacz & Marks, 1999; Schuster et al., 1990; Walen & Lachman, 2000).

2.2.3. Instrumental variable: ACEs

Exposure to ACEs was constructed using a summed score of eight types of adverse experiences (emotional abuse, sexual abuse, physical abuse, emotional neglect, physical neglect, parental divorce, parental depression, alcohol or drug abuse of any parent) before age 18 (yes/no). This is consistent with previous theories (Felitti et al., 1998) and



Fig. 2. Construction of study sample.

empirical applications (Hostinar et al., 2015).

2.2.4. Covariates

We include a comprehensive list of covariates known to influence the association between SNS and suicidal ideation and the association between ACEs and suicidal ideation based on previous literature (Franklin et al., 2017; Kalmakis & Chandler, 2015), which can be grouped into five categories: physical health conditions, psychological conditions, developmental disruption and sequelae, health risk behaviors, and healthcare utilization. (1) Physical health conditions include headache frequency in the last 30 days, sleep quality (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index), body mass index, autoimmune disease status (ever), number of chronic conditions, diminished health status (poor health or fair health), and five inflammatory markers (Hostinar et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2014), including C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, fibrinogen, E-selectin, and intercellular adhesion molecule. (2) Psychological conditions include self-esteem, positive affect, depression, anxiety, psychological distress (Brown et al., 2020) using the Kessler K6 Psychological Distress Scale (Kessler et al., 2002), and Big Five personality traits (openness, agreeableness, extraversion, neuroticism, conscientiousness). The latter two personality traits, neuroticism and conscientiousness, are related to emotional stability and impulsiveness, known determinants of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts (Cobb-Clark & Schurer, 2012). We also included a measure of stress reaction (Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire). (3) Developmental disruption sequelae included experiences of homelessness (since the previous survey) and being jailed (ever). (4) Health risk behaviors included were current smoking status, binge drinking (measured as the number of days with more than five drinks per day during the past 30 days), substance use (ever used the following in the past 12 months either without a doctor's prescription, in larger amounts than prescribed, or for a longer period than prescribed: sedatives, tranquilizers, stimulants, painkillers, antidepressants, inhalants, marijuana/hashish, cocaine/crack, LSD/hallucinogens, heroin). (5) Healthcare utilization included visits for mental health treatment and visits to physicians.

We also included sociodemographic and survey controls. These include age, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, educational level, household income, health insurance status, and a MIDUS cohort indicator (MIDUS 2 or MIDUS Refresher).

2.3. Statistical analysis

We estimate an IV model to determine the continuous local average treatment effect (LATE) of SNS in increasing suicidal ideation where SNS only varies due to variation in ACEs. Because the mean of suicidal ideation is 0.126 and thus does not fall within the standard [0.2, 0.8] range, in which linear probability models typically yield virtually identical results to nonlinear models such as logit or probit (Cox, 1972), we estimate IV probit models (Wooldridge, 2015b). For our IV probit model to be valid, four conditions must be satisfied. First, our instrument must be exogenous (its value cannot vary due to any other variable in the model). ACEs are necessarily exogenous in our model as ACEs occur in childhood. Second, the instrument must be strongly correlated with SNS, our endogenous variable of interest. We use weak instrument tests to empirically evaluate whether the correlation between the instrument and the endogenous variable is sufficiently strong (Pflueger and Wang, 2015). Third, ACEs can only affect suicidal ideation through their effect on SNS. In other words, ACEs must be independent of the outcome, conditional on included covariates. We have listed relevant covariates above based on previous systematic reviews (Franklin et al., 2017; Kalmakis & Chandler, 2015). Finally, ACEs must have a monotonic relationship with SNS, meaning that more (less) ACEs cause more (less) SNS or no change (no 'defiers'). We reasonably assume this condition is satisfied.

We perform weak instrument tests using two-stage least squares (2SLS) models as such tests are not possible using IV probit. These tests

only involve the first-stage of 2SLS, so the fact that suicidal ideation does not fall within the [0.2, 0.8] interval is inconsequential.

Finally, we perform sensitivity analyses by reporting IV probit models that only include sociodemographic controls, in addition to the fully controlled models. We also calculated the variance inflation factor (VIF) for each set of controlled variables to determine whether multicollinearity may be an issue. All models also corrected for heteroscedastic standard errors. Statistical analyses are conducted in Stata 16.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive statistics

Table 1 reports means (means are equivalent to proportions for binary variables) including standard deviations for nonbinary variables. We included two samples: a sample only containing sociodemographic controls (N = 1703) and a sample that contains the full set of controls (N = 1162).

3.2. Instrumental variable model results

Our IV probit estimates, which are presented as average marginal effects, showed a one-standard-deviation decrease in SNS is associated with a 21.4% (p = 0.05) decrease in suicidal ideation when using the fully controlled model (Table 2), while the model only using sociodemographic controls showed a 22.6% (p < 0.01) decrease (Table 3). In each case, a Wald test of exogeneity rejects the hypothesis that SNS is exogenous. The average marginal effects of SNS in our IV probit models are shown side by side with the average marginal effects from standard probit models that do not correct the endogeneity of SNS. In these models, the average marginal effects of a one-standard-deviation decrease in SNS are 1.3% (p = 0.30) and 4.2% (p < 0.01) decreases in suicidal ideation for the fully controlled and sociodemographic controls only models, respectively. Thus, a large amount of bias is present in the standard probit model. A weak instrument test yielded Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F statistics of 16.97 and 82.72 for the fully-controlled IV model and IV model only including sociodemographic controls, respectively, both of which are greater than the critical value of 16.38 for 10% maximal IV size. This indicates that the instrument is sufficiently strong (Stock & Yogo, 2005).

The mean VIF of the set of variables included in the fully controlled and sociodemographic-controlled-only models were 2.09 and 2.65, respectively. Only education variables had a VIF greater than 3.10, indicating no issues with multicollinearity among the extensive additional controls included in the fully controlled model.

Additional results for the IV probit models must be interpreted with caution, as IV models only correct the parameter bias for the endogenous variable of interest (SNS in the current case). Therefore, only the coefficients of this variable have a causal interpretation. Of note, covariates in IV models are only included to meet the criteria of IV models, i. e., that the instrument is not correlated with the error terms conditional on included covariates. Thus, the coefficients of these covariates have no meaningful causal interpretations to explain the variations in suicidal ideation outcomes.

Regarding the sociodemographic covariates, in the fully controlled model, age>44 is positively correlated, and being married has a negative association with suicidal ideation (p < 0.05). Sex, race/ethnicity, education, family income, and health insurance status have no significant association with suicidal ideation. The alternative model (only controlling for sociodemographic characteristics) showed similar results (see Tables 2 and 3).

With regard to physical health covariates, lower sleep quality was associated with increased risks of suicidal ideation (p < 0.05). Having headaches, body mass index, autoimmune disease status, chronic conditions, diminished health status (poor health or fair health), and inflammatory markers have no significant association with suicidal

Table 1

Characteristics of the participants included in the study sample.^a.

Characteristics	Sociodemographic Controls Only		Fully Controlled Set	
	(N = 17	703)	N = 1162)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Suicidal Ideation (binary)	0.127	_	0.126	_
Social Network Strain (range 1–4)	1.792	0.483	1.780	0.475
ACEs (8-item) (range 0–8)	3.530	1.878	3.541	1.876
Sociodemographic Characteristics				
Ages 45-54	0.268	_	0.247	_
Ages 55-64	0.244	_	0.242	_
Ages 65+	0.216	-	0.227	-
Sex				
Female	0.529	-	0.518	-
Black	0.045	_	0.041	_
Hispanic	0.041	_	0.035	_
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.009	-	0.009	-
Other Race	0.063	-	0.061	-
Marital Status	0.600		0.700	
Separated	0.089	_	0.702	_
Divorced	0.134	_	0.125	_
Widowed	0.048	_	0.045	_
Education				
High School/GED	0.169	-	0.156	-
Some College	0.291	-	0.277	-
Graduate School	0.240	_	0.249	_
Household Income (\$100,000s)	8.319	6.640	8.465	6.571
Health Insurance (binary)	0.929	-	0.935	-
Physical Health conditions				
Poor-to-fair Health Status (binary)	-	-	0.103	-
days)	-	-	0.044	0.205
Body Mass Index	_	_	28.332	6.063
Autoimmune Disorder (ever)	_	_	0.012	_
(binary)				
C-reactive protein, (ug/ml)	-	-	2.683	4.786
Fibrinogen, (mg/dl)	-	-	336.910	75.493
E-Selectin (ng/ml)	_	_	40.222	4.713
Intercellular adhesion molecule-1,	_	_	277.750	156.550
(ng/ml)				
Sleep Quality (PSQI) (range 0–19)	-	-	5.603	3.214
Chronic Conditions (No.)	-	-	2.338	2.404
Anxiety (binary)	_	_	0.025	_
Depression (binary)	_	_	0.100	_
Moderate-to-Severe Psychological	-	-	0.279	-
Distress (binary)				
Positive Affect (range 1–5)	-	-	3.434	0.719
3_12)	-	-	6.129	2.263
Self Esteem (range 11–49)	_	_	37.925	7,489
Big 5: Openness (range 1–4)	_	_	2.972	0.514
Big 5: Agreeableness (range 1-4)	-	-	3.372	0.529
Big 5: Extraversion (range 1–4)	-	-	3.092	0.591
Big 5: Neuroticism (range 1–4)	-	-	2.038	0.651
1_4)	-	-	3.390	0.400
Developmental disruption sequelae				
Homeless (since last interview)	-	-	0.006	-
(binary)				
Jail Detention (ever) (binary)	-	-	1.941	-
Health Risk Behaviors			0.380	
5+ Drinks (monthly frequency)	_	_	0.557	- 2.395
Substance Use (binary)	_	_	0.119	-
Healthcare Utilization				
Mental Health Visits (annual)	-	-	1.958	7.645
Physician Visits (annual)	-	-	3.499	3.915
windos 2 Survey (Dinary)	0.586	-	0.489	-

Abbreviations. ACEs, Adverse Childhood Experiences; SD, Standard Deviation; PSQI (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index); MPQ (Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire) ug, microgram; ml, milliliter; mg, milligram; dl, deciliter; pg, picogram; ng, nanogram; No., number.

^a Data were compiled from the MIDUS 2, MIDUS Refresher, MIDUS Refresher Biomarker Project, and MIDUS 2 Biomarker Project.

ideation (see Tables 2 and 3).

Psychological conditions, including self-esteem, positive affect, depression, anxiety, psychological distress, Big Five personality traits, and trait stress reaction have no significant association with suicidal ideation. Similarly, developmental disruption sequelae, including recent homelessness and ever being jailed have no significant association with suicidal ideation.

Health risk behaviors, including smoking status, binge drinking, and substance abuse have no significant association. Similarly, healthcare utilization, including visits for mental health treatment and visits to physicians, has no significant association with suicidal ideation.

A full set of results in which all variables are unstandardized (no zscores are used) is available in the Appendix. This includes both the fully controlled (eTable 2) and sociodemographic control only models (eTable 3).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to document the causal effect of SNS on suicidal ideation. In particular, we found that reducing SNS decreases suicidal ideation among people whose SNS has worsened due to ACEs. The results show an approximate one-fifth decrease in suicidal ideation from a one-standard-deviation reduction in SNS, a robust result even after adjusting for a full set of control variables identified from previous literature. Results suggest the need to carefully screen for SNS among at-risk individuals with ACEs. Notably, we provide rigorous evidence that focusing on reducing SNS and enhancing positive relationships among families, friends, and spouses is important in developing suicide prevention programs.

4.1. Social network strain

Our findings contribute to etiologic models and prevention efforts with evidence addressing the effect of SNS on suicidal ideation among patients with ACEs. In particular, our findings show that for high-risk individuals with ACEs, SNS is critical to their heightened risk of suicidal ideation. Suicidal interventions targeting reducing SNS with family, friends, and spouses have the potential to significantly reduce suicidal thoughts among middle-aged adults with high-level ACEs.

4.2. The role of adverse childhood experiences

Our findings, based on variation in ACEs, suggest that interventions to improve SNS may not need to involve all members of a subject's social network. Individuals experiencing ACEs in early life may be significantly susceptible to social strain and conflicts (Xiao & Lindsey, 2021a), perceiving them as more traumatic, hopeless, and stressful (Johnson et al., 2002; Lindsey & Xiao, 2019). Therefore, SNS will tend to cause suicidal ideation more often among people with ACEs, as they are neurobiologically more sensitive to relational demands, criticisms, frustrations, and irritation (Mann & Rizk, 2020; Xiao & Lindsey, 2021a).

The impaired cognitive control of mood and potential over-reaction to SNS could increase the risk of suicidal ideation. Interventions at the individual level to lessen this emotional sensitivity may thus be effective in reducing suicidal ideation. For example, the Cognitive Reappraisal Intervention for Suicide Prevention (CRISP) teaches middle-aged, and older hospitalized patients to manage emotional crises (e.g., relationship strains) by providing strategies for an adaptive response to these personalized triggers and negative emotions, which can reduce suicidal ideation and improve suicide prevention (Kiosses et al., 2018). Further research is needed to determine how similar interventions will be

Table 2

Effect of social network strain due to ACEs on suicidal ideation (full set of controls, N=1162).

	IV Probit Suicidal Ideation (binary)		Probit	
			Suicidal Ideation (binary)	
	Coefficient	Standard Error	Coefficient	Standard Error
Social Network Strain (z-	0.214*	0.109	0.013	0.012
score)				
Age <45 [Peference]				
Ages 45-54	0.092*	0.030	0.077*	0.026
Ages 55-64	0.062	0.039	0.019	0.028
Ages 65+	0.129*	0.048	0.067*	0.030
Sex				
Male [Reference]				
Female	-0.020*	0.030	0.014	0.021
Race/ethnicity				
White [Reference]	0.015	0.046	0.014	0.044
Black	-0.015	0.046	-0.014	0.044
Hispanic Asion (Desifie Islander	0.143	0.075	0.061	0.051
Asiall/Pacific Islander	-0.017	0.133	0.011	0.102
Marital Status	-0.037	0.032	0.003	0.042
Single [Reference]				
Married	-0.261*	0.118	-0.053	0.033
Separated	-0.284	0.148	-0.069	0.073
Divorced	-0.062	0.042	-0.038	0.036
Widowed	0.004	0.059	-0.017	0.053
Education				
Less than High School				
[Reference]	0.000	0.070	0.001	0.050
High School/GED	-0.032	0.060	-0.021	0.059
Some College	-0.052	0.059	-0.029	0.057
Craduata Sabaal	-0.040	0.063	0.0003	0.058
Household Income	-0.030	0.001	-0.013	0.039
(\$100.000s)	-0.002	0.002	0.0002	0.001
Health Insurance				
Uninsured [Reference]				
Insured	0.019	0.044	0.015	0.039
MIDUS Waves				
MIDUS Refresher				
[Reference]				
MIDUS 2 Survey	-0.029	0.027	-0.001	0.019
(binary)				
Physical Health conditions				
Good-to-Excellent				
Poor-to-fair Health	0.039	0.034	0.022	0.028
Status (binary)	0.039	0.034	0.022	0.020
Headache Frequency	0.026	0.057	0.005	0.043
(past 30 days)				
Body Mass Index	0.00003	0.002	0.002	0.002
No Autoimmune				
Disorder [Reference]				
Autoimmune Disorder	0.007	0.068	0.018	0.071
(ever) (binary)				
C-reactive protein, (ug/	0.016	0.016	0.002	0.010
ml) Filtaineann (ma (11)	0.015	0.014	0.000	0.011
Fibrinogen, (mg/di)	0.015	0.014	0.006	0.011
E Solootin (ng/ml)	-0.005	0.005	0.002	0.004
E-Selectili, (lig/lill)	-0.011	0.013	-0.005	0.010
molecule-1 (ng/ml)	-0.000	0.010	-0.005	0.000
Sleep Quality (PSQI)	0.008*	0.003	0.008*	0.003
(range 0–19)				
Chronic Conditions	-0.004	0.005	-0.001	0.004
(No.)				
Psychological conditions				
No Anxiety [Reference]				
Anxiety (binary)	-0.068	0.072	-0.080	0.060
No Depression				
[Reference]	0.042	0.025	0.057	0.020
Depression (Dinary)	0.043	0.035	0.03/	0.030

SSM - Population Health 18 (2022) 101120

	Coefficient	Standard Error	Coefficient	Standard Error
No Psychological				
Distress [Reference]				
Moderate-to-Severe	0.044	0.029	0.027	0.025
Psychological Distress				
(binary)				
Positive Affect (range	-0.021	0.022	-0.035*	0.018
1–5)				
Stress Reaction (MPQ)	0.005	0.007	0.009	0.006
(range 3–12)				
Self Esteem (range	0.022	0.021	-0.006	0.013
11–49) Bio El Ononnoco (ronco	0.000	0.016	0.010	0.011
big 5: Openness (range	-0.002	0.016	0.018	0.011
I-4) Big 5: Agreeableness	0.017	0.014	0.005	0.011
(range 1_4)	0.017	0.014	0.005	0.011
Big 5: Extraversion	-0.019	0.014	-0.011	0.012
(range 1–4)	01015	0.011	01011	01012
Big 5: Neuroticism	-0.032	0.018	-0.012	0.013
(range 1–4)				
Big 5:	0.01	0.013	-0.002	0.010
Conscientiousness				
(range 1–4)				
Developmental disruption seq	uelae			
No Homelessness				
[Reference]				
Homeless (since last	0.049	0.134	-0.046	0.094
interview) (binary)				
No Jail Detention				
[Reference]	0.070	0.045	0.001*	0.025
(binowy)	-0.070	0.045	-0.091	0.035
(Dilidiy)				
Nonsmoker				
[Reference]				
Current Smoker	-0.001	0.022	0.0004	0.020
(binary)				
5+ Drinks (monthly	-0.003	0.007	-0.001	0.005
frequency)				
No Substance Use				
[Reference]				
Substance Use (binary)	-0.018	0.034	0.005	0.028
Healthcare Utilization				
Mental Health Visits	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.001
(annual)				
Physician Visits	-0.005	0.003	-0.005	0.003
(annual)			0.10	
PSeudo K~	-		0.13	
walu $\chi 2$ lest of	4.99*		-	
Exogeneity Wold v2	225 04*		116 /1*	
walu ZZ	233.04		110.41	

All coefficients are average marginal effects from probit models with standard errors computed using the delta method.

There is no constant in marginal effects probit models. Pseudo R^2 is not interpretable in an instrumental variables model and is omitted.

*: $p \le 0.05$.

generalized to older adults.

4.3. Implications

Findings from this study hold important clinical and public health implications. Identifying individuals who experienced ACEs may be a promising screening tool for non-psychiatric physicians and community public health practitioners to better screen, diagnose, and treat depression, decreasing the risks of suicidal ideation and suicide death (Mann et al., 2021). Developing preventative approaches to reduce risks for ACEs is an important future direction to reduce suicidal ideation among middle-aged adults (Navarro et al., 2021).

Table 3

Effect of social network strain due to ACEs on suicidal ideation (sociodemographic controls only, N = 1703).

	IV Probit Suicidal Ideation (binary)		Probit		
			Suicidal Ideation (binary)		
	Coefficient	Standard Error	Coefficient	Standard Error	
Social Network Strain (z-score)	0.219*	0.046	0.042*	0.010	
Age					
Age <45 [Reference]					
Ages 45-54	0.065*	0.024	0.051*	0.022	
Ages 55-64	0.063*	0.028	0.016	0.024	
Ages 65+	0.107*	0.034	0.031	0.026	
Sex					
Male [Reference]					
Female	-0.022	0.018	-0.007	0.016	
Race/ethnicity					
White [Reference]					
Black	0.015	0.038	0.018	0.036	
Hispanic	0.108*	0.049	0.047	0.042	
Asian/Pacific	0.056	0.109	0.003	0.088	
Islander					
Other Race	-0.032	0.041	0.018	0.035	
Marital Status Single [Reference]					
Married	-0.295*	0.051	-0.134*	0.027	
Separated	-0.246*	0.086	-0.074	0.059	
Divorced	-0.069*	0.032	-0.070*	0.031	
Widowed	-0.005	0.048	-0.050	0.044	
Education					
Less than High					
School [Reference]					
High School/GED	0.012	0.052	0.016	0.050	
Some College	-0.014	0.05	-0.006	0.049	
Bachelors	0.021	0.051	0.029	0.05	
Graduate School	-0.010	0.052	-0.012	0.051	
Household Income	-0.001	0.002	-0.00005	0.001	
(\$100,000s)					
Health Insurance					
Uninsured					
[Reference]					
Insured	0.018	0.034	-0.013	0.030	
MIDUS Waves					
MIDUS Refresher					
[Reference]					
MIDUS 2 Survey	-0.017	0.019	-0.002	0.017	
(binary)					
Pseudo R ²	-		0.042		
Wald $\chi 2$ Test of	20.83*		-		
Exogeneity					
Wold v2	132.05*		51 0/*		

All coefficients are average marginal effects from probit models with standard errors computed using the delta method.

There is no constant in marginal effects probit models. Pseudo R^2 is not interpretable in an instrumental variables model and is omitted.

*: $p \leq 0.05$.

The substantial causal effect of SNS suggests the need for a new paradigm shift in clinical practice to effectively address suicidal behaviors in context, in that what matters are the lived experiences of patients – social networks. For middle-aged adults with ACEs, actively detecting social network demands, criticisms, irritations and frustrations may identify at-risk subpopulations with suicidal ideation and possible future suicide attempts (Mueller et al., 2021; Xiao & Lindsey, 2021a).

Our results may be particularly relevant to the recent increases in suicidal thoughts and behaviors among females and racial/ethnic minority populations. In particular, social networks across family, friends, and spouses are highly valued among Asian-American, Black, and Hispanic cultures (Xiao & Lindsey, 2021b). Suicidal ideation has also demonstrated an increasing trend among females and sexual minorities in the recent decade (Xiao et al., 2021; Xiao & Lu, 2021). Multilevel suicide interventions are needed to address interactions of social

determinants of health (SDoH): adverse childhood experiences, social networks, families, and healthy communities (Braslow et al., 2021). In particular, it is essential to take a comprehensive public health approach to prevent suicidal ideation in the first place, to identify people in need of early and equitable access, and to provide long-term social and economic support (Font & Maguire-Jack, 2016; Quinn et al., 2016; Stein et al., 2017). This is particularly true given the sociodemographic disparities observed in the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, during which social disconnections have surged substantially. Without a structural intervention across communities and legal action that proactively protects individuals from experiencing parental abuse and maltreatment during childhood, while improving social relationships from families to friends and neighborhoods, the existing racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in suicide rates may be difficult to alleviate completely.

4.4. Limitations

This study has limitations. First, variables related to ACEs may be subject to recall bias since this information is obtained retrospectively. Second, there could be group differences across age, sex, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status in the causal effect of social networks on suicidal ideation (Font & Maguire-Jack, 2016). However, the sample sizes of these subpopulations were too small to conduct valid subgroup analyses. Future research should address such differences using longitudinal data with more diverse populations across sociodemographic characteristics. When necessary, oversampling racial/ethnic and sexual minority groups may help us to understand the underlying mechanisms driving suicide behaviors in these groups, which have increased substantially in recent years (Cha et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2017; Xiao & Lindsey, 2021a). Lastly, while MIDUS samples were drawn from a nationally random-digit-dial representative sample of non-institutionalized middle-aged adults, the sample in our study is only approximately nationally representative.

5. Conclusions

Our study findings suggest that SNS causes increases in suicidal ideation among individuals with ACEs. Screening for early life adversities among individuals with psychiatric distress may effectively identify middle-aged adults at high risk for suicidal ideation. Suicide prevention by engaging and improving social networks shows promise in preventing suicidal behaviors. Policy efforts that reduce ACEs could be instrumental in reducing suicide at the structural level.

Author statement

Concept and design: All authors. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors. Drafting of the manuscript: All authors.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Brown.

Obtained funding: Xiao.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Xiao.

Ethical statement

We have reviewed the Ethics in Publishing and Ethical Guidelines for Journal Publication documents and confirm that we have abided by all ethical guidelines in the production of this manuscript. We have no competing interests or financial interests to disclose.

Funding/support

Dr. Xiao was supported by grant CORONAVIRUSHUB-D-21-00125

Role of the funder/sponsor

The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of the funders.

Financial disclosure

The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

Ethical approval

This study was not required to obtain ethics approval since it uses publicly available data that contain no identifiable private information and is therefore not considered human subjects research by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at the University of California. The authors did not have access to any personally identifiable information or information that would link the data to individuals' identities. All data are reported in aggregate to eliminate the possibility of deductive identification of individuals.

Data availability statement

Data sharing: Data are accessible through Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR). The public-use data files in this collection are available for access by the general public through https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/web/ICPSR/series/203. Access does not require affiliation with an ICPSR member institution. All Stata code is accessible from the corresponding author.

Conflict of interest disclosures

No conflicts of interests.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101120.

References

- Baca-Garcia, E., Perez-Rodriguez, M. M., Oquendo, M. A., Keyes, K. M., Hasin, D. S., Grant, B. F., & Blanco, C. (2011). Estimating risk for suicide attempt: Are we asking the right questions?: Passive suicidal ideation as a marker for suicidal behavior. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 134(1–3), 327–332.
- Belsher, B. E., Smolenski, D. J., Pruitt, L. D., Bush, N. E., Beech, E. H., Workman, D. E., Morgan, R. L., Evatt, D. P., Tucker, J., & Skopp, N. A. (2019). Prediction models for suicide attempts and deaths: A systematic review and simulation. JAMA Psychiatry, 76(6), 642. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0174
- Boylan, J. M., Cundiff, J. M., Fuller-Rowell, T. E., & Ryff, C. D. (2020). Childhood socioeconomic status and inflammation: Psychological moderators among Black and White Americans. *Health Psychology*, 39(6), 497.
- Braslow, J. T., Brekke, J. S., & Levenson, J. (2021). Psychiatry's myopia—reclaiming the social, cultural, and psychological in the psychiatric gaze. JAMA Psychiatry, 78(4), 349. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.2722
- Brent, D. A., Oquendo, M. A., & Reynolds, C. F., III. (2019). Caring for suicidal patients. JAMA Psychiatry, 76(8), 862–863. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2019.0927
- Brim, O. G., Ryff, C. D., & Kessler, R. C. (2019). How healthy are we? A national study of well-being at midlife. University of Chicago Press.
- Brown, T. T., Ahn, C., Huang, H., & Ibrahim, Z. (2020). Reducing the prevalence of lowback pain by reducing the prevalence of psychological distress: Evidence from a

natural experiment and implications for health care providers. *Health Services Research*, 55(5), 631–641.

- CDC. (2021). Underlying cause of death 1999-2020 on CDC WONDER online database. http://wonder.cdc.gov/ucd-icd10.html.
- CDC. (2022). Web-based injury statistics query and reporting system (WISQARS), nonfatal injury data. WISQARSTM — web-based injury statistics query and reporting system. https://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.html.
- Chang, Q., Chan, C. H., & Yip, P. S. (2017). A meta-analytic review on social relationships and suicidal ideation among older adults. Social Science & Medicine, 191, 65–76.
- Cha, C. B., Tezanos, K. M., Peros, O. M., Ng, M. Y., Ribeiro, J. D., Nock, M. K., & Franklin, J. C. (2018). Accounting for diversity in suicide research: Sampling and sample reporting practices in the United States. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 48(2), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12344
- Cobb-Clark, D. A., & Schurer, S. (2012). The stability of big-five personality traits. *Economics Letters*, 115(1), 11–15.

Cox, D. R. (1972). The analysis of multivariate binary data. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics), 21(2), 113–120. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 2346482

- Czeisler, M.É., Lane, R. I., Wiley, J. F., Czeisler, C. A., Howard, M. E., & Rajaratnam, S. M. W. (2021). Follow-up survey of US adult reports of mental health, substance use, and suicidal ideation during the COVID-19 pandemic, september 2020. JAMA Network Open, 4(2), Article e2037665. https://doi.org/10.1001/ iamanetworkopen.2020.37665
- Desai, R. J., Mahesri, M., Abdia, Y., Barberio, J., Tong, A., Zhang, D., Mavros, P., Kim, S. C., & Franklin, J. M. (2018). Association of osteoporosis medication use after hip fracture with prevention of subsequent nonvertebral fractures: An instrumental variable analysis. JAMA Network Open, 1(3), Article e180826. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.0826
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 14(4), 245–258.
- Font, S. A., & Maguire-Jack, K. (2016). Pathways from childhood abuse and other adversities to adult health risks: The role of adult socioeconomic conditions. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 51, 390–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.05.013
- Forster, M., Grigsby, T. J., Gower, A. L., Mehus, C. J., & McMorris, B. J. (2020). The role of social support in the association between childhood adversity and adolescent selfinjury and suicide: Findings from a statewide sample of high school students. *Journal* of Youth and Adolescence, 49(6), 1195–1208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-020-01235-9
- Franklin, J. C., Ribeiro, J. D., Fox, K. R., Bentley, K. H., Kleiman, E. M., Huang, X., Musacchio, K. M., Jaroszewski, A. C., Chang, B. P., & Nock, M. K. (2017). Risk factors for suicidal thoughts and behaviors: A meta-analysis of 50 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(2), 187–232. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000084
- García de la Garza, Á., Blanco, C., Olfson, M., & Wall, M. M. (2021). Identification of suicide attempt risk factors in a national US survey using machine learning. JAMA Psychiatry, 78(4), 398–406. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.4165
- Gould, M. S., Marrocco, F. A., Kleinman, M., Thomas, J. G., Mostkoff, K., Cote, J., & Davies, M. (2005). Evaluating iatrogenic risk of youth suicide screening ProgramsA randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 293(13), 1635–1643. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.293.13.1635
- Grzywacz, J. G., & Marks, N. F. (1999). Family solidarity and health behaviors: Evidence from the national survey of midlife development in the United States. *Journal of Family Issues*, 20(2), 243–268.
- Hostinar, C. E., Lachman, M. E., Mroczek, D. K., Seeman, T. E., & Miller, G. E. (2015). Additive contributions of childhood adversity and recent stressors to inflammation at midlife: Findings from the MIDUS study. *Developmental Psychology*, 51(11), 1630–1644. https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000049
- Hughes, K., Bellis, M. A., Hardcastle, K. A., Sethi, D., Butchart, A., Mikton, C., Jones, L., & Dunne, M. P. (2017). The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Public Health*, 2(8), e356–e366. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30118-4
- Johnson, J. G., Cohen, P., Gould, M. S., Kasen, S., Brown, J., & Brook, J. S. (2002). Childhood adversities, interpersonal difficulties, and risk for suicide attempts during late adolescence and early adulthood. Archives of General Psychiatry, 59(8), 741–749.
- Kalmakis, K. A., & Chandler, G. E. (2015). Health consequences of adverse childhood experiences: A systematic review. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners*, 27(8), 457–465. https://doi.org/10.1002/2327-6924.12215
- Kessler, R. C., Andrews, G., Colpe, L. J., Hiripi, E., Mroczek, D. K., Normand, S.-L., Walters, E. E., & Zaslavsky, A. M. (2002). Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychological Medicine*, 32(6), 959–976.
- Kim, D., Baum, C. F., Ganz, M. L., Subramanian, S. V., & Kawachi, I. (2011). The contextual effects of social capital on health: A cross-national instrumental variable analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, 73(12), 1689–1697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. socscimed.2011.09.019
- Kiosses, D. N., Alexopoulos, G. S., Hajcak, G., Apfeldorf, W., Duberstein, P. R., Putrino, D., & Gross, J. J. (2018). Cognitive reappraisal intervention for suicide prevention (CRISP) for middle-aged and older adults hospitalized for suicidality. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 26(4), 494–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jagp.2017.11.009
- Klonsky, E. D., & May, A. M. (2015). The three-step theory (3ST): A new theory of suicide rooted in the "ideation-to-action" framework. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, 8(2), 114–129.
- Klonsky, E. D., Pachkowski, M. C., Shahnaz, A., & May, A. M. (2021). The three-step theory of suicide: Description, evidence, and some useful points of clarification.

Y. Xiao and T.T. Brown

Preventive Medicine, 152(Pt 1), 106549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ypmed.2021.106549

Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. W. (2001). The PHQ-9. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 16(9), 606–613. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x

Lee, H., Herbert, R. D., & McAuley, J. H. (2019). Mediation analysis. JAMA, 321(7), 697–698. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.21973

- Lew, B., Chistopolskaya, K., Liu, Y., Talib, M. A., Mitina, O., & Zhang, J. (2020). Testing the strain theory of suicide–The moderating role of social support. *Crisis: The Journal* of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention, 41(2), 82.
- Lindsey, M. A., & Xiao, Y. (2019). Depression, trauma, and suicide among adolescent and young adult males. In D. M. Griffith, M. A. Bruce, & R. J. Thorpe, Jr. (Eds.), *Men's health equity: A handbook*. Routledge.

Love, G. D., Seeman, T. E., Weinstein, M., & Ryff, C. D. (2010). Bioindicators in the MIDUS national study: Protocol, measures, sample, and comparative context. *Journal* of Aging and Health, 22(8), 1059–1080. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0898264310374355

Maciejewski, M. L., & Brookhart, M. A. (2019). Using instrumental variables to address bias from unobserved confounders. JAMA, 321(21), 2124–2125. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.2019.5646

Mann, J. J. (2003). Neurobiology of suicidal behaviour. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 4 (10), 819–828. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1220

Mann, J. J., Apter, A., Bertolote, J., Beautrais, A., Currier, D., Haas, A., Hegerl, U., Lonnqvist, J., Malone, K., & Marusic, A. (2005). Suicide prevention strategies: A systematic review. JAMA, 294(16), 2064–2074. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.294.16.2064

Mann, J. J., Michel, C. A., & Auerbach, R. P. (2021). Improving suicide prevention through evidence-based strategies: A systematic review. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.20060864. appiajp.202020060864.

Mann, J. J., & Rizk, M. M. (2020). A brain-centric model of suicidal behavior. American Journal of Psychiatry, 177(10), 902–916. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi. ajp.2020.20081224

McHugh, C. M., Corderoy, A., Ryan, C. J., Hickie, I. B., & Large, M. M. (2019). Association between suicidal ideation and suicide: Meta-analyses of odds ratios, sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value. *BJPsych Open*, 5(2).

Merrick, M. T., Ford, D. C., Ports, K. A., & Guinn, A. S. (2018). Prevalence of adverse childhood experiences from the 2011-2014 behavioral risk factor surveillance system in 23 states. JAMA Pediatrics, 172(11), 1038. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamapediatrics.2018.2537

Morina, N., Kip, A., Hoppen, T. H., Priebe, S., & Meyer, T. (2021). Potential impact of physical distancing on physical and mental health: A rapid narrative umbrella review of meta-analyses on the link between social connection and health. BMJ Open, 11(3), Article e042335.

Mueller, A. S., Abrutyn, S., Pescosolido, B., & Diefendorf, S. (2021). The social roots of suicide: Theorizing how the external social world matters to suicide and suicide prevention. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.621569

National Academies of Sciences, E. (2020). Social isolation and loneliness in older adults: Opportunities for the health care system. National Academies Press.

Navarro, M. C., Ouellet-Morin, I., Geoffroy, M.-C., Boivin, M., Tremblay, R. E., Côté, S. M., & Orri, M. (2021). Machine learning assessment of early life factors predicting suicide attempt in adolescence or young adulthood. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(3), Article e211450. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.1450

 Nock, M. K., Hwang, I., Sampson, N., Kessler, R. C., Angermeyer, M., Beautrais, A., Borges, G., Bromet, E., Bruffaerts, R., & De Girolamo, G. (2009). Cross-national analysis of the associations among mental disorders and suicidal behavior: Findings from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(8), Article e1000123.
 Ohlsson, H., & Kendler, K. S. (2020). Applying causal inference methods in psychiatric

epidemiology: A review. JAMA Psychiatry, 77(6), 637–644.
Pflueger, C. E., & Wang, S. (2015). A robust test for weak instruments in Stata. STATA Journal, 15(1), 216–225. https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X1501500113

Polanco-Roman, L., Alvarez, K., Corbeil, T., Scorza, P., Wall, M., Gould, M. S., Alegría, M., Bird, H., Canino, G. J., & Duarte, C. S. (2021). Association of childhood adversities with suicide ideation and attempts in Puerto Rican young adults. JAMA Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.0480

Pournaghash-Tehrani, S. S., Zamanian, H., & Amini-Tehrani, M. (2021). The impact of relational adverse childhood experiences on suicide outcomes during early and young adulthood. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36(17–18), 8627–8651.

Quinn, K., Boone, L., Scheidell, J. D., Mateu-Gelabert, P., McGorray, S. P., Beharie, N., Cottler, L. B., & Khan, M. R. (2016). The relationships of childhood trauma and adulthood prescription pain reliever misuse and injection drug use. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 169, 190–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.09.021

Rogers, M. L., & Joiner, T. E. (2019). Exploring the temporal dynamics of the interpersonal theory of suicide constructs: A dynamic systems modeling approach. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 87(1), 56.

Rossom, R. C., Coleman, K. J., Ahmedani, B. K., Beck, A., Johnson, E., Oliver, M., & Simon, G. E. (2017). Suicidal ideation reported on the PHQ9 and risk of suicidal behavior across age groups. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 215, 77–84.

Rubio, A., Oyanedel, J. C., Bilbao, M., Mendiburo-Seguel, A., López, V., & Páez, D. (2020). Suicidal ideation mediates the relationship between affect and suicide attempt in adolescents. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3540.

Ryff, C. D., Almeida, D., Ayanian, J., Binkley, N., Carr, D., Coe, C., Davidson, R., Grzywacz, J., Karlamangla, A., Krueger, R., Lachman, M., Love, G., Mailick, M., Mroczek, D., Radler, B., Seeman, T., Sloan, R., Thomas, D., Weinstein, M., & Williams, D. (2016). *Midlife in the United States (MIDUS refresher), 2011-2014: Version 3* [Data set]. ICPSR - Interuniversity Consortium for Political and Social Research. https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR36532.V3.

Ryff, C. D., Seeman, T., & Weinstein, M. (2010). Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 2): Biomarker project. Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research. https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR29282.V9, 2004-2009: Version 9 (Version v9) [Data set].

Sachs-Ericsson, N. J., Rushing, N. C., Stanley, I. H., & Sheffler, J. (2016). In my end is my beginning: Developmental trajectories of adverse childhood experiences to late-life suicide. Aging & Mental Health, 20(2), 139–165.

Schuster, T. L., Kessler, R. C., & Aseltine, R. H. (1990). Supportive interactions, negative interactions, and depressed mood. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 18(3), 423–438.

Stein, M. D., Conti, M. T., Kenney, S., Anderson, B. J., Flori, J. N., Risi, M. M., & Bailey, G. L. (2017). Adverse childhood experience effects on opioid use initiation, injection drug use, and overdose among persons with opioid use disorder. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 179, 325–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2017.07.007

Stock, J. H., & Yogo, M. (2005). Testing for weak instruments in linear IV regression (Vol. 80). Identification and Inference for Econometric Models: Essays in Honor of Thomas Rothenberg.

Sun, L., & Zhang, J. (2016). Psychological strains and suicidal intent: An empirical study to relate the two psychopathological variables. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 204(11), 855–860. https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.00000000000529

Thompson, M. P., Kingree, J. B., & Lamis, D. (2019). Associations of adverse childhood experiences and suicidal behaviors in adulthood in a U.S. nationally representative sample. *Child: Care, Health and Development, 45*(1), 121–128. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/cch.12617

Tucker, R. P., Hagan, C. R., Hill, R. M., Slish, M. L., Bagge, C. L., Joiner, T. E., & Wingate, L. R. (2018). Empirical extension of the interpersonal theory of suicide: Investigating the role of interpersonal hopelessness. *Psychiatry Research*, 259, 427–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.11.005

Van Orden, K. A., Witte, T. K., Cukrowicz, K. C., Braithwaite, S. R., Selby, E. A., & Joiner, T. E. (2010). The interpersonal theory of suicide. *Psychological Review*, 117 (2), 575–600. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018697

Walen, H. R., & Lachman, M. E. (2000). Social support and strain from partner, family, and friends: Costs and benefits for men and women in adulthood. *Journal of Social* and Personal Relationships, 17(1), 5–30.

Walker, J., Hansen, C. H., Hodges, L., Thekkumpurath, P., O'Connor, M., Sharma, N., Kleiboer, A., Murray, G., Kroenke, K., & Sharpe, M. (2010). Screening for suicidality in cancer patients using Item 9 of the nine-item patient health questionnaire; does the item score predict who requires further assessment? *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 32(2), 218–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2009.11.011

Walsh, C. G., Johnson, K. B., Ripperger, M., Sperry, S., Harris, J., Clark, N., Fielstein, E., Novak, L., Robinson, K., & Stead, W. W. (2021). Prospective validation of an electronic health record–based, real-time suicide risk model. *JAMA Network Open*, 4 (3). Article e211428. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.1428

Wang, L., He, C. Z., Yu, Y. M., Qiu, X. H., Yang, X. X., Qiao, Z. X., Sui, H., Zhu, X. Z., & Yang, Y. J. (2014). Associations between impulsivity, aggression, and suicide in Chinese college students. *BMC Public Health*, 14, 551. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2458-14-551. ProQuest Central.

Watson, D., Clark, L. A., Weber, K., Assenheimer, J. S., Strauss, M. E., & McCormick, R. A. (1995). Testing a tripartite model: II. Exploring the symptom structure of anxiety and depression in student, adult, and patient samples. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 104(1), 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1037//0021-843x.104.1.15

Wooldridge, J. M. (2015a). Introductory econometrics: A modern approach. Cengage learning.

Wooldridge, J. M. (2015b). Control function methods in applied econometrics. Journal of Human Resources, 50(2), 420–445.

Xiao, Y., Cerel, J., & Mann, J. J. (2021). Temporal trends in suicidal ideation and attempts among US adolescents by sex and race/ethnicity, 1991-2019. JAMA Network Open, 4(6). e2113513–e2113513.

Xiao, Y., & Lindsey, M. A. (2021a). Adolescent social networks matter for suicidal trajectories: Disparities across race/ethnicity, sex, sexual identity, and socioeconomic status. *Psychological Medicine*, 1–12.

Xiao, Y., & Lindsey, M. A. (2021b). Racial/ethnic, sex, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic disparities in suicidal trajectories and mental health treatment among adolescents transitioning to young adulthood in the USA: A population-based cohort study. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 1–15.

Xiao, Y., & Lu, W. (2021). Temporal trends and disparities in suicidal behaviors by sex and sexual identity among Asian American adolescents. JAMA Network Open, 4(4). e214498–e214498.

Yip, T., Chen, M., Wang, Y., Slopen, N., Chae, D., Priest, N., & Williams, D. (2021). Linking discrimination and sleep with biomarker profiles: An investigation in the MIDUS study. *Comprehensive Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 5, Article 100021.

Zhang, J., Tan, J., & Lester, D. (2013). Psychological strains found in the suicides of 72 celebrities. Journal of Affective Disorders, 149(1), 230–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jad.2013.01.031

Zhang, J., Wieczorek, W. F., Conwell, Y., & Tu, X. M. (2011). Psychological strains and youth suicide in rural China. Social Science & Medicine, 72(12), 2003–2010. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.03.048